

A Systematic Review into the Incidence, Severity and Duration of Antidepressant Withdrawal Effects: Are Guidelines Evidence-Based?

Abstract:

Introduction

The U.K.'s current National Institute for Health and Care Excellence and the American Psychiatric Association's depression guidelines state that withdrawal reactions from antidepressants are 'self-limiting' (i.e. typically resolving between 1-2 weeks). This systematic review assesses that claim.

Methods

A systematic literature review was undertaken to ascertain the incidence, severity and duration of antidepressant withdrawal reactions. We identified 23 relevant studies, with diverse methodologies and sample sizes.

Results

Withdrawal incidence rates from 14 studies ranged from 27% to 86% with a weighted average of 56%. Four large studies of severity produced a weighted average of 46% of those experiencing antidepressant withdrawal effects endorsing the most extreme severity rating on offer. Seven of the ten very diverse studies providing data on duration contradict the UK and USA withdraw Guidelines in that they found a significant proportion of people who experience withdrawal do so for more than two weeks, and that it is not uncommon for people to experience withdrawal for several months. The findings of the only four studies calculating mean duration were, for quite heterogeneous populations, 5 days, 10 days, 43 days and 79 weeks.

Conclusions

We recommend that U.K. and U.S.A. guidelines on antidepressant withdrawal be urgently updated as they are clearly at variance with the evidence on the incidence, severity and duration of antidepressant withdrawal, and are probably leading to the widespread misdiagnosing of withdrawal, the consequent lengthening of antidepressant use, much unnecessary antidepressant prescribing and higher rates of antidepressant prescriptions overall. We also recommend that prescribers fully inform patients about the possibility of withdrawal effects.

1. Introduction

Antidepressants (ADs) are one of the most commonly used drug classes in the U.K. and U.S., with prescriptions and duration of use rising each year. In the U.K. usage has risen since 2000 by 170%, with over seven million adults (16% of the English adult population) being prescribed an AD in England alone last year (2016-17) (DHSC, 2018), and with the number of individual annual prescriptions now topping 65 million (NHS Digital, 2017). Additionally, round half of all AD users [approx. 3.5 million people in England (8% of the population)] have been taking ADs for longer than two years, (Johnson et al., 2012). In the U.S. almost 8% of the population aged over 12 used ADs (in a given month) in 1999-2002, a figure rising to almost 13% (37 million adults) by 2011-2014 (CDCP, 2017). Around one-half of AD users in the U.S. [approx. 18 million people (7% of the population)] have been taking them for at least 5 years (Mojtabai & Olfson, 2014). Furthermore, the average duration of AD use has more than doubled since the mid-2000s in both the U.K. (NHS Digital 2017) and the U.S. (Mojtabai & Olfson, 2014).

Previous research on long-term use has estimated that a third of people in the U.K. who take ADs for more than two years have no evidence-based clinical indications for continuing to take them (Cruickshank & MacGillivray, 2008). Similar levels of unnecessary long-term prescribing have also been found in other, non-U.K. settings (Ambresin et al., 2015, Eveleigh et al., 2014, 2015). If we apply the percentages of such non-indicated prescribing to today's long-term use figures, we could estimate that approximately 1.2 million long-term AD users in England, and 6 million users in the U.S., could be taking ADs without clinical indication and could therefore try withdrawing.

As a previous review indicates, antidepressants have been long known to induce withdrawal reactions in a large proportion of users (Haddad, 1997). While in some people such reactions may be mild, of short duration and manageable with reassurance and explanation (Haddad, 1997) in other people, even with slow withdrawal, these reactions are severe, long-lasting and can make normal functioning impossible (Anon, 1999). Typical AD withdrawal reactions include increased anxiety, flu-like symptoms, insomnia, nausea, imbalance, sensory disturbances, and hyperarousal. Dizziness, electric shock-like sensations, brain zaps, diarrhoea,

headaches, muscle spasms and tremors, agitation, hallucinations, confusion, malaise, sweating and irritability are also reported (Warner et al., 2006, Healy, 2014).

Although the aforementioned symptoms are the most common physical symptoms, there is also evidence that AD withdrawal can induce mania and hypomania, (Naryan & Haddad, 2011, Goldstein, et al., 1999) emotional blunting and an inability to cry, (Holguin-Lew & Bell, 2013) long-term or even permanent sexual dysfunction (Csoka & Shipko, 2006).

Current US clinical guidelines indicate that withdrawal reactions ‘typically resolve without specific treatment over 1–2 weeks’ (APA, 2010 p. 39), with current U.K guidelines similarly stating, that ‘[withdrawal] symptoms are usually mild and self-limiting over about 1 week’ (NICE, 2009, 1.9.2.1 in CG90).

The purpose of the current study, therefore, was to evaluate the accuracy and helpfulness of these guidelines by conducting a systematic review of the research literature on the incidence, duration and severity of AD withdrawal.

2. Methods

The inclusion criteria for the review were any research articles published in peer-reviewed journals, in English, providing clear, comparable data about the incidence, duration or severity of withdrawal from ADs. No time restriction was employed in relation to when the studies were conducted. Studies were included regardless of diagnosis, as withdrawal effects are not influenced by the problem for which they are prescribed. Individual (n=1) case studies were noted but excluded from numerical summaries or estimates.

A systematic search, informed by PRISMA guidelines (Moher, 2009), was undertaken by one of the two reviewers using MeSH (Medical Subjects Headings) in the MEDLINE/PubMed database. The search terms deployed were: Antidepressants OR Antidepressant Medications (39,626) AND Withdrawal Symptoms (3,671) OR Withdrawal Effects (296) OR Withdrawal Syndrome (2,583) OR Discontinuation Syndrome (146) OR Discontinuation Symptoms (129) OR Dependence (88,098) OR Addiction (46,785). This produced 312 papers. Reviewing the Abstracts of these 312

revealed that 20 met our inclusion criteria. The other reviewer independently searched PsycINFO, Google Scholar and published literature reviews but found no additional relevant papers. Searching the bibliographies of the 20 papers also produced no further studies. However, a 2015 review identified two additional relevant studies. An additional survey addressing duration and severity (in which one of the reviewers - JD - was involved), published in a recent report by the All-Party Parliamentary Group for Prescribed Drug Dependence in the UK, was also included in the review, bringing the total number of studies to 23. Seventeen pertained to incidence, four to severity and ten to duration (with eight addressing two of the three variables).

3. Results

3.1 Study Characteristics

The methodologies of the 23 studies were heterogeneous and the sample sizes ranged from three to 1,367. Six of the 23 studies were drug-company funded and a further five involved researchers with conflicts of interest in relation to drug company money (see Tables 1-3). Four of the samples were in the UK, three each in Canada and the USA, two each in Italy and Japan and one each in Denmark, the Netherlands and New Zealand. The other six used international samples. Six were published in the 1990s, eight between 2000 and 2009, and nine since 2010, indicating a consistently low research interest in AD withdrawal.

3.2 Incidence

Our search revealed 17 studies providing data on incidence rates, 14 of which were deemed usable when calculating a weighted average (see Table 1). The methodologies were heterogeneous. The most common approaches to assessing withdrawal were the Discontinuation-Emergent Signs and Symptoms (DESS) checklist, covering 43 symptoms (six studies, using from two to four symptoms as the cut off), and self-report based on self-definition of 'withdrawal' symptoms (three studies). Sample sizes ranged from 14 to 1,367. Eight provided data that compared AD drugs, which are recorded in Table 1, but not discussed further. Nine of the 17 studies were either drug company funded (six) or involved authors with conflicts of interest from receipt of industry funds (three).

The largest three studies, all independent of drug-company influence, were online surveys. The first, by the Royal College of Psychiatrists (RCPsych) in the U.K. found that of 817 AD users, 512 (63%) experienced varying types and degrees of withdrawal reaction upon AD cessation (RCPsych, 2012). This is similar to the results derived from the largest direct-to-consumer survey of AD users to date. Of the 1,367 New Zealand AD users in New Zealand who responded to a question about withdrawal, 55% replied that they had experienced some degree of withdrawal effects (Read et al., 2014). An international survey, utilising almost identical methodology as the New Zealand study, also found that 55% (of 953 AD users) reported withdrawal effects (Read & Williams 2018). In the New Zealand cohort the percentage reporting withdrawal effects rose to 74% among those who had been on the drugs for more than three years (Cartwright et al., 2016).

These three studies had the largest sample sizes to date (and did not restrict the period during which withdrawal reactions were reported), but the samples were neither randomised nor stratified. It is therefore possible that they may have over-represented people who were dissatisfied with ADs. However, as the majority of the participants reported that the ADs had reduced their depression, in both the New Zealand (83%) and international (65%) studies the ‘dissatisfaction bias’ concern seems minimal. (Satisfaction data was not provided in the RCPsych study).

Table 1 also summarises eleven other, smaller studies, with diverse methodologies, mostly using assessment periods of just 5 to 14 days. A multicentre study of 86 people who had been on ADs for over three months, found that 66 (77%) exhibited withdrawal symptoms within seven days of having the drug abruptly replaced with placebo (Hindmarch et al., 2000). An 8-week **multicentre** randomised trial comparing sertraline and venlafaxine XR in 163 patients with MDD, revealed withdrawal reactions in a combined average of 64% of users (Sir, et al., 2005). An RCT study of 95 people who abruptly stopped taking fluoxetine indicated 67% experienced withdrawal reactions, (Zajecka et al., 1998) and a **case-report** study of 14 people who abruptly withdrew from fluvoxamine found that 86% experienced withdrawal (Black et al., 1993). An additional randomised clinical trial of SSRI withdrawal, covering 185 people, revealed an average withdrawal incidence of 46% (Rosenbaum et al. 1998). An additional study evaluating 25 outpatients treated with

escitalopram noted 14 (56%) experienced withdrawal reactions with higher dose and lower clearance leading to higher risk of withdrawal (Yasui-Furukori et al., 2016). A further study of 28 users of venlafaxine who were randomized to a three-day or 14-day taper, indicated that 46% experienced withdrawal (Tint et al., 2008). Finally, a small study of 20 outpatients treated with SSRIs before slowly tapering off then found that 45% exhibited withdrawal reactions (45%) (Fava et al. 2007).

Three studies report somewhat lower rates. One, an open trial of 95 people who discontinued their SSRIs, found 27% experienced withdrawal upon discontinuation (Bogetto et al., 2002). The second, a 12-week randomised, double-blind study of paroxetine patients, showed that of 55 withdrawing from paroxetine 35% developed withdrawal reactions upon abrupt discontinuation (Oehrberg et al. 1995). The third, a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of escitalopram, found that 27% of 181 people exhibited withdrawal reactions following abrupt replacement with placebo (Montgomery, Nil et al., 2005).

These 14 methodologically diverse studies produced incidence rates ranging from 27% to 86%, with a median of 55% and a weighted average of 56.4% (2991/5299).

Excluded studies

Incidence rates from a further three studies (all outliers, beyond the range of the 14 studies above) were deemed unsuitable for inclusion in the calculation of the weighted average of incidence rates but are noted for completeness. The first was a retrospective study of the medical notes of 385 people who stopped paroxetine. It found that 11% of the patients' notes recorded withdrawal (Himei & Okamura, 2006). As this study excluded any withdrawal commencing 3 days after discontinuation, and only assessed 9 withdrawal symptoms, lower rates may be an artefact of the study design. Furthermore it was a chart-review of medical notes, which relies on practitioners being aware of and recording withdrawal reactions. An earlier chart-review, found a similar overall incidence of 12%, but suffered from similar methodological limitations (Coupland et al., 1996). A large study of people taking part in a withdrawal programme using tapering strips found that 97% had experienced withdrawal during previous attempts to stop ADs, but such a high rate is to be expected in this group, who are not representative of all AD users (Groot & Van Os,

2018). Had these three studies been included in the weighted average calculation the outcome (55.8% - 2260/4050) would have differed only slightly.

In addition, two of the large surveys asked participants whether they had experienced addiction to the ADs. In the New Zealand survey (Read et al., 2014, 2018) 27% reported addiction (with 80% describing their addiction as ‘moderate’ or severe’). In the international survey 37% reported addiction (with 62% ‘moderate’ or ‘severe’ (Read & Williams, 2018). These findings, while important in their own right, were not included in the calculation of the weighted average as addiction and withdrawal are inter-related but different phenomena.

Five other studies, all funded by drug companies, compared the average numbers of ‘discontinuation symptoms’ resulting from the funder’s product and the competitors’ products, typically following artificially short treatment periods of eight to 12 weeks, and always using assessment periods of only five to 14 days (Baldwin et al., 2006; Judge et al., 2002; Michelson et al., 2000; Montgomery, Huusom et al. 2004; Montgomery, Kennedy et al., 2005). Because they also failed to report incidence rates in terms of percentages, these studies could not be included in Table 1. Nevertheless some of these studies produce further evidence that withdrawal reactions are common. For example one found that after just eight weeks on venlafaxine people experienced an average of five withdrawal symptoms when they stopped taking the drug (Montgomery, Huusom et al., 2004).

3.3 Severity

Our review discovered five studies reporting the severity of withdrawal effects. Four large, independently funded, studies found that nearly a half of people who have experienced withdrawal effects choose the most extreme option in the scale offered to them to describe the severity of those effects (see Table 2).

All four studies involved surveys of AD use in the real world and therefore covered broad ranges of types of AD and duration of treatment. For example, the large New Zealand study covered a range of ADs (see (Table 1) and 52% had taken ADs for more than three years. This survey found that 46% of the 750 AD users who reported withdrawal effects ticked ‘severe’ and a further 32% ticked ‘moderate’, on a mild–

moderate–severe scale. (Read et al., 2014). This was similar to the 43% (severe) and 25% (moderate) findings for the 528 withdrawers in the large international sample, using the same scale (Read & Williams, 2018). A recent Dutch study of people involved in a tapering programme found that of the 671 who had experienced withdrawal effects 339 (51%) reported the most extreme level of withdrawal; ‘very much’ (point 7 on a seven point scale of severity) (Groot & Van Os, 2018). In a recent international survey, 580 people who had attempted withdrawal from ADs responded to the question ‘how severely do you feel withdrawal has impacted upon your life’ On a scale of 0 -10). The mean response was 8.35 (SD = 2.05) denoting that the majority experienced severe reactions (median = 9, mode = 10), with 249 (43%) indicating the highest level of severity on the scale (10) (Davies et al. 2018).

Thus, the percentages selecting the most extreme level of severity on offer in these four studies ranged from 43% to 51%, with a weighted average of 45.7% (1157/2529).

Excluded study

The fifth study was a drug company funded study comparing sertraline versus venlafaxine. After a two week taper, the withdrawal reactions of 110 patients were rated as ‘severe’ by two and as ‘very severe’ by one (Sir, et al., 2005). These low severity rates would have been minimised by the treatment period being artificially short (eight weeks), compared to the four surveys of the real life experiences of people on antidepressants for months or years. Furthermore, in the drug company study severity was clinician-rated rather than the self-report used in the other four studies. Including this outlier in the weighted average would have reduced it slightly, to 43.5% (1158/2664).

3.4 Duration

Regarding the duration of withdrawal reactions, we identified ten relevant studies (see Table 3). Three involved conflicts of interest but none were drug-company funded.

One open trial study of 26 patients (treated for eight weeks or more) who experienced withdrawal effects when discontinuing under clinical supervision found that the effects lasted for an average of five days (Bogetto et al., 2002). Another small open

trial showed that three out of nine people (33%) experienced withdrawal for longer than a month, under the ‘slowest possible tapering’ (Fava et al., 2007). A study of spontaneous reports by UK doctors to a national data base found that 71 reported on duration of withdrawal effects. The effects lasted for between one and 52 days, with an average of 10.5 days. (Price et al., 1996). However, 34% of the 430 people who had had a withdrawal reaction when stopping paroxetine had reactions that were so severe and/or long-lasting that they had to be treated with a reintroduction of the drug, so the actual duration of untreated withdrawal reactions was minimised.

The RCPsych survey of AD users found that for the 512 who experienced withdrawal the symptoms ‘generally lasted for up to 6 weeks’ and that ‘A quarter of our group reported anxiety lasting more than 12 weeks’ (how much longer than 12 weeks was not reported) (RCPsych 2012). A recent international survey found that of the 580 people who had experienced AD withdrawal and who answered the question ‘How long have you experienced withdrawal symptoms?’ 86.7% responded at least two months, 58.6% at least one year, and 16.2% more than three years (Davies et al. 2018).

Additionally, a recent content analysis of a population likely to have experienced withdrawal difficulties assessed the content of 137 online posts about AD withdrawal in the real world. The mean duration of withdrawal symptoms was 90.5 weeks for the 97 SSRI users and 50.8 weeks for the 40 SNRI users (Stockmann et al., 2018).

A randomised control trial of discontinuation from fluoxetine found that 40% of 58 people who abruptly withdrew were still experiencing withdrawal symptoms at 6 weeks after discontinuation, with no further follow up (Zajecka et al., 1998). In a study reviewing cases of ‘AD discontinuation manic states’, the eight for which duration of withdrawal reactions was known, produced a mean duration of 43 days - ranging from nine to 198 days (Narayan & Haddad, 2011). A review of three cases being treated for withdrawal with CBT, reported withdrawal duration of over three months for all cases (Belaise et al., 2014). A further case-report study assessed 46 cases of SSRI discontinuation, with 26 containing information on duration symptoms. In 11 of these 26 cases (47.6%), symptoms resolved in less than 1 week, while in the rest of cases (52.4%), duration ranged from one to 13 weeks (Black et al., 2000). In

this study the withdrawal symptoms of an additional 17 people only ended after the reintroduction of an AD, meaning that only 26% (11/43) experienced spontaneous remission of their withdrawal symptoms within one week.

The ten studies do not permit a weighted average, because of the variety of methodologies and ways duration was reported. Seven out of the ten studies contradict the UK and USA withdraw Guidelines in that they found that a significant proportion of people experiencing withdrawal do so for more than two weeks (Belaise et al., 2014; Davies et al., 2018; Fava et al., 2007; Narayan & Haddad, 2010; Stockman et al., 2018; RCPsych 2012; Zajecka et al., 1998).

For example, one study found withdrawal lasting at least six weeks in 40% of people (Zajecka et al., 1998) and another found it lasting at least 12 weeks in 25% (RCPsych, 2012). Many examples of longer durations, beyond a year, are reported by two recent, real life samples of people experiencing difficulties with withdrawal (Davies & Pauli, 2018; Stockman et al., 2018).

4. Discussion

Our review of the quantitative studies concludes that more than half (56%) of AD users experience withdrawal, with the majority of these describing their withdrawal as moderate or severe, and nearly half (46%) as severe. Seven of the ten very diverse studies providing data on duration contradict the UK and USA withdraw Guidelines in that they found a significant proportion of people who experience withdrawal do so for more than two weeks, and that it is not uncommon for people to experience withdrawal for several months and beyond.

Furthermore, two of the studies reviewed indicate that for 40% of people who withdraw the effects last at least 6 weeks (Zajecka et al., 1998) and for 25% they last 12 weeks or more (RCPsych, 2012). Given that around 7 million individuals were prescribed ADs in England last year and around 37 million in the U.S., it is possible that around 2.8 million people in England and 14.8 million in the U.S. (about 5% of the U.S. and English populations) may experience AD withdrawal for at least six weeks after cessation, and 1.8 million and 9 million respectively may experience withdrawal for at least 12 weeks.

These findings differ significantly from those implied in both the U.K. (NICE, 2009) and U.S. guidelines (APA, 2010) on AD withdrawal. Furthermore, these findings are not alone in contradicting current guidelines. For instance, a 2015 review of quantitative studies and 38 case reports, noted that in only 4 out of 18 case reports (22%) did withdrawal symptoms spontaneously remit within 2 weeks, and in two cases paroxetine withdrawal was present up to one year after discontinuation. It concluded that while withdrawal reactions ‘typically occur within a few days from drug discontinuation and last a few weeks.... many variations are possible, including late onset and/or longer persistence of disturbances’ (Fava et al., 2015).

4.1 ‘Discontinuation Syndrome’ vs. ‘Withdrawal’

Given that AD withdrawal is of higher incidence, severity and longer duration than current guidelines acknowledge, a number of key implications follow. Firstly, using the term ‘discontinuation syndrome’ to characterise AD withdrawal runs contrary to the evidence. While ‘discontinuation syndrome’ has been used sporadically in the literature since the 1960s, its established meaning with respect to ADs was first operationally defined at the ‘Discontinuation Consensus Panel’ funded by Eli Lilly in 1996 (Schatzberg, 1997, Schatzberg et al., 1997), where it was delineated as a ‘self-limiting syndrome’ (e.g. comprising mild, transient and/or more distressing symptoms that can lead to impairments in functioning or productivity), ‘typically resolving within 2 to 3 weeks’ (Rivas-Vazquez et al., 1999) and to be distinguished from other contentious withdrawal forms such as those generated by benzodiazepines and sedative hypnotics (Fava et al., 2015).

The panel’s characterisation of withdrawal as ‘self-limiting’ and resolving within 2-3 weeks (a position still broadly reflected in current guidelines), however, appears unsupported not only by the evidence the panel cited to substantiate the ‘self-limiting’ claim, (ADH 1996) but by subsequent evidence on duration (such as that covered by this review). Furthermore, defining ‘withdrawal syndromes’ as those pertaining to benzodiazepines and antipsychotics, and ‘discontinuation syndromes’ to SSRIs, not only erroneously separates AD withdrawal from other CNS drug withdrawals but also minimises the vulnerabilities induced by SSRIs (Nielsen et al., 2012). The term ‘discontinuation syndrome’ may further mislead as AD withdrawal can occur without

discontinuation (e.g. between two doses of rapid-onset and short-acting drugs and with a decrease in medication) (Fava et al., 2015), while the term ‘syndrome’ subtly medicalises withdrawal by associating it with a disorder endogenous to the person than with a non-dysfunctional reaction to the cessation of a drug. For these reasons, this review supports Fava et al., (2015) in stating that ‘discontinuation syndrome’ should be replaced with a term more consistent with the evidence, such as ‘withdrawal’ or ‘withdrawal reaction’.

4.2 Misdiagnosis of Withdrawal

Another implication of AD withdrawal being of higher incidence and longer duration than current guidelines acknowledge, is that added credence is given to concerns that many doctors are misdiagnosing withdrawal – e.g. as relapse or treatment failure. One prevailing view deployed to counter this concern, is that misdiagnosis can be avoided, as it is possible to distinguish withdrawal reactions from relapse on the grounds that withdrawal usually commences within a few days after cessation and resolves quickly if the drug is reinstated, whereas relapse is uncommon in the first weeks after stopping treatment (Anon, 1999). This view is problematic, however, as many withdrawal variations are possible, including late onset of withdrawal and/or longer persistence of disturbances, meaning withdrawal symptoms may be easily misidentified as signs of impending relapse (Fava et al., 2015). For example, for drugs like fluoxetine, with a longer half-life, it is possible that withdrawal may commence many days or even weeks after cessation, confounding beliefs about withdrawal’s close proximity to cessation (Renoir, 2013). Furthermore, differing metabolic rates can also confound accurate predictions about the onset of withdrawal. Additionally, and crucially, re-emergent symptoms of depression and anxiety are a regular feature of AD withdrawal itself (Rosenbaum et al., 1998) - the RCPsych’s own survey found that the withdrawal reaction rated severe by most people was increased anxiety, with approximately 25% of users experiencing anxiety for at least 3 months after stopping their AD (RCPsych 2012). As ADs are now widely prescribed for anxiety-related problems, and as increased anxiety is a common withdrawal reaction, ignorance of withdrawal reactions could have led, in the past, to relapse being overestimated when ADs were withdrawn (Anon, 1999) and could still be leading, in the present, to genuine withdrawal being misread as relapse with drugs being reinstated and a more negative prognosis being issued.

Withdrawal can also be misdiagnosed in other ways: as failure to respond to treatment (e.g. where covert non-adherence is mistaken as the condition worsening, leading to dose increase or drug switching); or as bipolar I or II (e.g. where ‘manic’ or ‘hypomanic’ withdrawal reactions are misdiagnosed as the early onset of bipolar); or as the result of switching medications (e.g. where withdrawal reactions are misdiagnosed as side-effects of the new antidepressant) (Haddad & Anderson, 2007).

Misdiagnosing AD withdrawal in the aforementioned ways would predict rising long-term AD use, as many users, having their withdrawal experiences misread, would have their drugs reinstated and/or switched (and/or their dosage increased). Such rising long-term use is precisely what we find in the clinical population, with the average duration of AD use more than doubling since the mid-2000s in both the U.K. (NHS Digital, 2017) and the U.S. (Mojtabai & Olfson, 2014).

4.3 Consistency with Qualitative Studies on Withdrawal

Qualitative studies, where AD users are either asked open questions survey interviews, or have their spontaneous internet postings published, are also consistent with the findings of the current review. These studies also serve to bring those findings to life. Illustrative examples of personal testimony regarding the severity of withdrawal effects follow:

I am currently trying to wean myself off of Venlafaxine, which honestly is the most awful thing I have ever done. I have horrible dizzy spells and nausea whenever I lower my dose. (Pestello & Davis-Berman, 2008)

I forgot to take my Citalopram for two days and woke up one morning with severe dizziness. It was so extreme that I fell over when I tried to get out of bed and I threw up. (Read et al., 2018)

The withdrawal effects if I forget to take my pill are severe shakes, suicidal thoughts, a feeling of too much caffeine in my brain, electric shocks, hallucinations, insane mood swings. kinda stuck on them now coz I'm too scared to come off it. (Gibson et al., 2016)

While there is no doubt I am better on this medication, the adverse effects have been devastating – when I have tried to withdraw - with “head zaps”, agitation, insomnia and mood changes. This means that I do not have the option of managing the depression any other way because I have a problem coming off this medication. (Cartwright et al., 2016).

Testimonies relevant to the duration of the effects include:

It took me 2 months of hell to come off the antidepressants. Was massively harder than I expected. (Read et al., 2018)

It took me almost two years to get off Paroxetine and the side effects were horrendous. I even had to quit my job because I felt sick all the time. Even now that I am off of it, I still feel electric shocks in my brain (Pestello & Davis-Berman, 2008)

The difficulty of getting off has been a tough road and taken me years of trying and is something that doctors could be more knowledgeable of and supportive with. (Cartwright et al., 2016)

4.4. Clinical Guidelines on Antidepressant Withdrawal

A final implication of the incidence, severity and duration of AD withdrawal being higher than guidelines acknowledge, is that current guidelines are misleading doctors on the nature and management of withdrawal. As current U.K. (NICE, 2009) and U.S. (APA, 2010) depression guidelines are at variance with the conclusions of this research, a Freedom of Information Request was issued to NICE to ascertain the evidence base for its current statement on withdrawal – namely, that “[withdrawal] symptoms are usually mild and self-limiting over about 1 week” (NICE, 2009, 1.9.2.1 in CG90). NICE responded that its current statement on AD withdrawal was inherited from an earlier statement issued in the previous 2004 guidelines, which stated that

There are no systematic randomised studies in this area.... If symptoms are mild, reassure the patient that these symptoms are not uncommon after

discontinuing an antidepressant and that they will *pass in a few days*. (NICE, 2004, 4.5.2.48 in CG23 italics added).

NICE confirmed that evidence for both the above claims ‘has not been updated’ since 2004. Furthermore, this evidence was derived, at the time, from only two pieces of research (e.g. Lejoyeux & Adès, 1997, Haddad 2001), neither of which, upon analysis, cites a single source that supports NICE’s later (2009) one-week claim.

NICE’s current position on AD withdrawal was not only originally advanced on weak evidence, but is 14 years out of date and countered by subsequent evidence, as can be seen in this review. This raises concerns for the substantial number of AD users who will experience withdrawal for a longer duration than guidelines recognise. Assuming doctors honour such guidelines, many of these people will likely have their AD withdrawal misdiagnosed – e.g., as relapse or as a failure to respond to treatment - with ADs either being reinstated, switched or doses increased as a consequence. These practices, if routinely enacted, would help partly explain lengthening AD use, which has increased the number of AD prescriptions.

That doctors are being misled by guidelines also legitimates concerns raised by affected people that their withdrawal was not properly acknowledged, understood and managed by doctors, something widely reported in survey data (Davies et al., 2018, Cartwright, 2016). This is also confirmed by the two largest surveys to date, which revealed that fewer than 2% of AD users recall being told anything by the prescriber about withdrawal effects, dependence or potential difficulties (Read et al., 2018; Read & Williams, 2018). Illustrative personal testimonies include:

I was never fully informed of all side effects, short or long-term. If I had been more fully informed I would not have taken the medication for a long time or at all.

I was never informed by doctors of long-term side effects or addiction/development of tolerance and went through extremely severe withdrawal symptoms attempting to get off. (Cartwright, et al. 2016).

5. Limitations

The calculation of our estimates has not factored in differences between AD types, (e.g. differing half-lives affect timing of withdrawal onset) although table 1 shows that a broad range of SSRI's are represented in the reviewed studies. Limitations have also been imported from the confines of the studies included, which regularly cover treatment of only short duration – 6 weeks (Tint et al., 2008) 8 weeks (Bogetto 2002, Sir, et al. 2005) 12 weeks (Zajecka 1998), to a median of 12 weeks (Narayan & Haddad 2011) - while undertaking limited follow up: at 3 days (Himei, T., Okamura, 2006), at 14 days (Black 1998) at 4 weeks (Bogetto 2002) at six weeks (Zajecka 1998) and at 12 weeks (RCPsych 2010). As short-term treatment will limit the occurrence of withdrawal upon discontinuation (Eveleigh et al., 2017), and as restricted follow-up will inevitably exclude post-follow-up withdrawal reactions, such delimitations will have probably led to the underestimation of both the incidence and duration of withdrawal in the studies reviewed, thus rendering our overall estimates conservative.

Future research must determine the extent to which the misdiagnosis of withdrawal (leading to ADs being either reinstated or switched) has driven lengthening AD use since the mid-2000s. While shorter AD usage is associated with more successful AD discontinuation, (Eveleigh et al., 2017) rising long-term AD use is of serious concern. In addition to the obvious economic costs incurred, the human costs of long-term use are well documented, being linked with serious adverse effects such as increased severe side-effects, (Ferguson, 2001) the impairment of patients' autonomy and resilience (increasing their dependence on medical help) (Kendrick, 2015), increased weight gain, (Gafoor et al., 2018) worsening outcomes for some patients, (Hengartner, et al., 2018, Shea, 1992) poorer long-term outcomes for major depressive disorder, (Vittengl, 2017) greater relapse rates, (Viguera, 1998) increased mortality (Maslej et al., 2017) and an increased risk of developing neurodegenerative disease, such as dementia (Richardson et al., 2018). It will also be important, therefore, to determine what people are told about withdrawal pre-treatment, to establish how regularly and accurately they are being forewarned of likely withdrawal reactions; warnings that will impact decision-making around accepting AD treatment.

Finally, given that the evidence reported here on AD withdrawal severity, incidence and duration differs from what guidelines state, future research must readdress the dependency-forming nature of ADs. This is particularly important as recent surveys reveal many AD users report being ‘addicted’ to ADs; for example, 37% from a sample of 943 (Read & Williams, 2018) and 27% from a sample of 1521 (Read et al., 2004). Among 493 AD users 57% agreed with the statement ‘When you have taken antidepressants over a long period of time it is difficult to stop taking them’ and 56% agreed with ‘Your body can become addicted to antidepressants’ (Kessing *et al.* 2005). As withdrawal is a central criterion for dependency, underestimates of withdrawal may well have impacted on past assessments of the extent to which ADs are dependency-forming.

6. Conclusion

The available research indicates that antidepressant withdrawal reactions are widespread, with incidence rates ranging from 27% to 86% (weighted average of 56%), and with nearly half (46%) of those experiencing withdrawal describing these reactions as severe. Available research also indicates that current clinical guidelines in the U.K. and U.S are in urgent need of correction, in order to be evidence-based, as withdrawal effects are neither mostly ‘mild’ nor ‘self-limiting’ (i.e. typically resolving over 1-2 weeks), but are regularly experienced far beyond what current guidelines acknowledge.

We therefore recommend that both sets of guidelines be urgently updated to reflect the evidence base on AD withdrawal.

As a secondary recommendation we suggest that consideration be given to independent reviews of the processes by which the two bodies concerned construct clinical guidance around mental health interventions (e.g. how are panel members selected, by what criteria, and how is the quality of literature reviews assured). We also suggest that panel members are independent from the pharmaceutical industry, and that panels include experts-by-experience (ie AD users) and non-medical mental health professionals.

As the lengthening duration of AD use has fuelled rising AD prescriptions over the same time period, we must understand the drivers of such lengthening use. The evidence set out suggests that lengthening use may be partly rooted in the underestimation of the incidence, severity and duration of AD withdrawal reactions, leading to many withdrawal reactions being misdiagnosed, for example, as relapse (with drugs being reinstated as a consequence) or as failure to respond to treatment (with either new drugs being tried and/or dosages increased). This issue is pressing as long-term AD use is associated with increased severe side-effects, increased risk of weight gain, the impairment of patients' autonomy and resilience (increasing their dependence on medical help), worsening outcomes for some patients, greater relapse rates, increased mortality and the development of neurodegenerative diseases, such as dementia.

It is of serious concern that prescribed antidepressant medications are causing withdrawal effects that are often long-lasting and severe, and that this is not being recognised by current clinical guidelines and, by extension, prescribers. It is of additional concern that such poor recognition of AD withdrawal might be increasing the duration of AD use and, therefore, overall rates of antidepressant prescriptions.

Declarations of interest: none

Table 1. Incidence of antidepressant withdrawal reactions

STUDY and funding*	AD type	Treatment duration	Abrupt/ Tapered	Withdrawal assessment period	Withdrawal incidence
Montgomery, Nil et al 2005 DC	escitalopram	12 weeks	abrupt	2 weeks	27% (49/181)¹
Bogetto et al 2002 IND	paroxetine fluoxetine	≥ 8 weeks	mixed (67% tapered)	4 weeks	27% (26/95)² parox 42% (22/52) fluox 9% (4/45)
Oehberg et al 1995 DC	paroxetine	12 weeks	abrupt	2 weeks	35% (19/55)³
Fava et al 2007 IND	fluoxetine fluvoxamine paroxetine citalopram sertraline	\bar{X} = 29 months ≥ 6 months	tapered ('slowest possible')	1 year	45% (9/20)¹
Tint et al 2008 CI	paroxetine fluoxetine venlafaxine citalopram fluvoxamine	≥ 6 weeks	tapered ('brief')	5-7 days	46% (13/28)⁴ parox 56% (5/9) others 36% (4/11)
Rosenbaum et al 1998 DC	fluoxetine sertraline paroxetine	\bar{X} = 11 months	abrupt	5-8 days	46% (86/185)¹ sertr 60% (38/63) parox 66% (39/59) fluox 14% (9/63)
Read et al 2014, 2018 IND	paroxetine venlafaxine citalopram. fluoxetine sertraline escitalopram Tricyclics	median (52%) = > 3 years	mixed	indefinite (online survey)	55% (750/1367)⁵ parox 76% (82/108) venla 70% (19/27) Tricyc 51% (28/55) cital 47% (115/247) fluox 36% (97/273) escit 33% (5/15) sertr 18% (2/11)
Read & Williams 2018 IND	sertraline fluoxetine citalopram venlafaxine paroxetine escitalopram duloxetine fluvoxamine Tricyclics	median (62%) = > 3 years	mixed	indefinite (online survey)	55% (528/953)⁵ parox 69% (46/67) Tricyc 63% (37/59) fluox 62% (75/120) venla 60% (48/80) escit 56% (35/63) cital 55% (65/118) sertr 50% (61/121) fluvo 47% (7/15) dulox 31% (5/16)
Yasui-Furukori	escitalopram	> 6 months	tapered	indefinite	56% (14/25)⁶

et al 2016 CI				(every clinic visit)	
R.C.P. 2012 ?	citalopram. fluoxetine venlafaxine sertraline escitalopram mirtazapine paroxetine duloxetine Tricyclics	unknown – real-life	mixed	indefinite (online survey)	63% (512/817) ⁵ venla 82% (89/109) escit 75% (38/51) parox 69% (20/29) dulox 69% (18/26) sertra 62% (55/89) cital 60% (141/235) Tricyc 53% (23/43) fluox 44% (76/173) mirta 21% (8/38)
Zajacka et al 1998 CI	fluoxetine	12 weeks	abrupt	6 weeks	67% (64/95) ⁷
Hindmarch et al 2000 DC	fluoxetine sertraline paroxetine citalopram	≥ 3 months	abrupt	4-7 days	77% (66/86) ¹ parox 100% (22/22) fluox 77% (17/22) cital 70% (14/20) sertr 59% (13/22)
Sir et al 2005 DC	sertraline venlafaxine	8 weeks	tapered ¹³	2 weeks	85% (110/129) ⁸ sertr 58% (39/67) venla 71% (44 /62)
Black et al 1993 DC	fluvoxamine	8 months	abrupt	2 weeks	86% (12/14) ⁹
WEIGHTED	AVERAGE				56.4% (2991/5299)

* DC = study funded by drug company; CI = author(s) in receipt of drug company funding, or drug company employee; IND = independent; ? = unknown - no conflict of interest statement

1 = ≥ 4 of the 43 symptoms in Discontinuation-Emergent Signs and Symptoms (DESS) checklist

2 = ≥ 2 DESS symptoms

3= observation or spontaneous self report of any adverse event (i.e. not specifically asked about withdrawal symptoms or administered DESS)

4 = ≥ 3 DESS symptoms

5= self defined

6 = ≥ 3 of a reduced DESS symptom list (25/43)

7 = ≥ 1 'new or worsened adverse event'

8 = AntiDepressant Discontinuation Scale (ADDS)

9= any new symptom

Table 2. Severity of withdrawal effects

STUDY and funding*	Treatment duration	Type of scale	Percentage choosing most extreme level of severity
Groot & van Os 2018 IND	unknown	0 - 7	51% (339/671)
Read et al. 2014 IND	mode (52%) => 3 years	none/mild/ moderate/ severe	46% (342/750)
Read & Williams 2018 IND	mode (62%) => 3 years	none/mild/ moderate/ severe	43% (227/528)
Davies et al 2018 IND	unknown	0 - 10	43% (249/605)
WEIGHTED	AVERAGE		45.7% (1157/2529)

* IND = independent

Table 3. Duration of withdrawal effects

STUDY and funding*	AD Type	Treatment duration	Abrupt/ Tapered	Withdrawal assessment period	N	Duration
Bogetto et al 2002 ¹ IND	paroxetine fluoxetine	≥ 8 weeks	mixed	4 weeks	26	\bar{X} = 5 days (range 1 - 10 days)
Price et al 1996 ² IND	paroxetine	unknown – real-life	unknown – real-life	indefinite	71	\bar{X} = 10 days 46% ≤ 1 week 41% 8-14 days 13% > 2 weeks
Black et al 2000 ³ ?	paroxetine fluoxetine fluvoxamine sertraline	> 1 month	mixed	indefinite	26	42% < 1 week 58% 1-13 weeks
Fava et al 2007 ⁴ IND	SSRIs	\bar{X} = 29 months ≥ 6 months	‘slowest possible tapering’	1 year	9	67% ≤ 1 month 33% > 1 month
R.C.P. 2012 ⁵ ?	multiple (see Table 1)	unknown – real-life	mixed	indefinite	512	‘generally up to 6 weeks’ 25% > 12 weeks
Zajecka et al 1998 ⁶ CI	fluoxetine	12 weeks	abrupt	6 weeks	75, 58	35% (26/75) in week 4 40% (23/58) in week 6
Narayan & Haddad 2010 ³ CI	TCAs, SSRIs, MAOIs, SNRIs	≥ 4 weeks median = 3 months	mixed	indefinite	8	\bar{X} = 43 days) (range 9 - 198 days)
Belaise et al 2014 ³ CI	paroxetine	> 4 years	various	indefinite	3	100% > 3 months
Davies et al 2018 ⁵ IND	TCAs, SSRIs, MAOIs, SNRIs	unknown – real-life	unknown – real-life	indefinite	605	87% ≥ 2 months 59% ≥ 1 year 16% > 3 years
Stockman et al 2018 ⁵ IND	SSRIs SNRIs	\bar{X} = 5 years	tapered	indefinite	137	\bar{X} = 79 weeks SSRIs \bar{X} = 90 weeks SNRIs \bar{X} = 51 weeks

1 = ≥ 2 of the 43 symptoms in Discontinuation-Emergent Signs and Symptoms (DESS) checklist

2 = spontaneous reports to national data base

3 = case studies

4 = ≥ 4 DESS symptoms

5 = self-report

6 = ≥ 1 ‘new or worsened adverse event’

References

American Psychiatric Association
Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with major depressive disorder, third edition. Aling Available:
https://psychiatryonline.org/pb/assets/raw/sitewide/practice_guidelines/guidelines/md_d.pdf

Anon
Withdrawing patients from antidepressants. *Drugs and Therapeutics Bulletin*, 37 (1999) pp. 49-52

G. Ambresin, V. Palmer, K. Densley, C. Dowrick, G. Gilchrist, J.M. Gunn
What factors influence long-term antidepressant use in primary care? Findings from the Australian diamond cohort study. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 176 (2015) pp. 125–132;

Australian Department of Health
Australian Adverse Drug Reactions Bulletin. (1996) Website:
<https://www.tga.gov.au/publication-issue/australian-adverse-drug-reactions-bulletin-vol-15-no-1#ssris> (Accessed April 2018).

C. Belaise A., Gatti V.A., Chouinard G., Chouinard
(2014) Persistent post-withdrawal disorders induced by paroxetine, a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, and treated with specific cognitive behavioral therapy. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 83 (2014) pp. 247–248.

D. W. Black, R., Wesner, J. Gabel
The abrupt discontinuation of fluvoxamine in patients with panic disorder. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 54 (4) (1993) pp. 146-9.

K. Black, C., Shea, S., Dursun, S., Kutcher
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor discontinuation syndrome: proposed diagnostic criteria, *Revue de Psychiatrie et de Neuroscience*, 25 (3) (2000) pp. 256-260

F. Bogetto, S. Bellino, R.B. Revello, I. Patria
Discontinuation syndrome in dysthymic patients treated with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors: a clinical investigation. *CNS Drugs*, 16 (4) (2002) pp. 273-83.

C. Cartwright, K. Gibson, J. Read, O. Cowan, T. Dehar
Long-term antidepressant use: patient perspectives of benefits and adverse effects. *Patient Preferences and Adherence*, 28 (10) (2016) pp. 1401-7.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
NCHS Data Brief No. 283: Antidepressant Use Among Persons Aged 12 and Over: United States, 2011–2014. August 2017.

W. Coryell

Characteristics and significance of untreated major depressive disorder, *American Journal of Psychiatry* 152 (1995) pp. 1124-29

G. Cruickshank, S. MacGillivray, D. Bruce, D

Cross-sectional survey of patients in receipt of long-term repeatprescriptions for antidepressant drugs in primary care. *Mental Health Family Medicine*, 5 (2008) pp. 105–9.

A.B. Csoka, S. Shipko

Persistent sexual side effects after SSRI discontinuation, *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*. 75(3) (2006) pp. 187-8

J, Davies, G. Pauli, L. Montagu

A survey of Antidepressant Withdrawal Reactions and their Management in Primary Care. Report from the All Party Parliamentary Group for Prescribed Drug Dependence (2018).

Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC)

Hansard: Prescriptions Drugs – Written Question - 128871

Website <https://www.parliament.uk/business/publications/written-questions-answers-statements/written-question/Commons/2018-02-21/128871/> (Accessed July 2018).

R. Eveleigh, J. Grutters, E. Muskens, R. Oude Voshaar, C, van Weel, A. Speckens, P. Lucassen

Cost-utility analysis of a treatment advice to discontinue inappropriate long-term antidepressant use in primary care. *Family Practice* 31 (5) (2014) pp. 578-584.

R. Eveleigh

Inappropriate long-term antidepressant use in primary care: a challenge to change (PhD thesis). (2015) Radboud University, Nijmegen.

R. Eveleigh, E. Muskens, P. Lucassen, P. Verhaak, J. Spijker, V. van Well, R. Oude Voshaar, A. Speckens Withdrawal of unnecessary antidepressant medication: A randomized controlled trial in primary care. *BJGP Open*, 1 (4) (2017) DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgpopen17X101265>.

G. A. Fava, E., Tomba E

The use of antidepressant drugs: some reasons for concern. *Int J Risk Safety Med*; 11 (1998) pp. 271–274.

G. A. Fava, A., Gatti, C., Belaise, J. Guidi, E. Offidani

Withdrawal symptoms after selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors discontinuation: a systematic review. *Psychother Psychosom* 84 (2015) pp. 72–81.

G.A. Fava, M. Bernardi, E. Tomba, C., Rafanelli

Effects of gradual discontinuation of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in panic disorder with agoraphobia. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 10(6) (2007) pp. 835-8.

J. M. Ferguson

SSRI Antidepressant Medications: Adverse Effects and Tolerability. *Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry*. 3(1) (2001) pp. 22–7.

R. Gafoor, H.P. Booth, M.C. Gulliford

Antidepressant utilisation and incidence of weight gain during 10 years' follow-up: population based cohort study *BMJ*; 361 (2018) k1951

K. Gibson, C. Cartwright, J. Read

'In my life antidepressants have been....': A qualitative analysis of users' diverse experiences of antidepressants. *BMC Psychiatry*, 16, (2016) pp. 135.

T. Goldstein, M. Frye, K. Denicoff, Smith-Jackson E. Leverich, A. Bryan, S.O. Ali, R. Post

Antidepressant Discontinuation-Related Mania: Critical Prospective Observation and Theoretical Implications in Bipolar Disorder. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 60 (1999) pp. 563–567.

P.C. Groot, J. Va Os,

Antidepressant tapering strips to help people come off medication more safely. *Psychosis*, 10 (2) (2018) pp. 142-145

P. M. Haddad

Newer antidepressants and the discontinuation syndrome. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 58 (1997) pp. 17-22.

P. M. Haddad

Antidepressant Discontinuation Syndromes Clinical Relevance, Prevention and Management *Drug Safety*; 24 (3) (2001) pp. 183-197

P. M. Haddad, I.M. Anderson

Recognising and managing antidepressant discontinuation symptoms *Advances in Psychiatric Treatment* 13 (2007) pp. 447–457

D. Healy

Data Based Medicine Paper: Dependence and Withdrawal. (2012) See Website: <http://davidhealy.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/DBM-Paper-Dependence-and-Withdrawal.pdf> (Retrieved 21 February 2014)

M. P. Hengartner, J., Angst, W., Rössler

Antidepressant Use Prospectively Relates to a Poorer Long-Term Outcome of Depression: Results from a Prospective Community Cohort Study over 30 Years, *Psychother Psychosom* 87 (2018) pp. 181–183

Himeji, T. Okamura

Discontinuation syndrome associated with paroxetine in depressed patients: a retrospective analysis of factors involved in the occurrence of the syndrome. *CNS Drugs*. 20 (8) (2006) pp. 665-72.

J. C. Holguin-Lew, L. Bell

"When I Want to Cry I Can't": Inability to Cry Following SSRI Treatment. *Rev Colomb Psiquiatr.* 42(4) (2013) pp. 304-10.

C.F. Johnson, H.J. Macdonald, P. Atkinson, A.I. Buchanan, N. Downes, N. Dougall
Reviewing long-term antidepressants can reduce drug burden: a prospective
observational cohort study. *British Journal of General Practice* 62 (11) (2012), pp.
e773-e779.

R. Judge M., Parry D., Quail J., Jacobson
Discontinuation symptoms: comparison of brief interruption in fluoxetine and
paroxetine treatment. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 17 (2002):217–225.

T. Kendrick
Long-term antidepressant treatment: time for a review? *Prescriber* (2015) 5th
October.

L. Kessing, H. Hansen, K. Demyttenaere, K. et al.
Depressive and bipolar disorders: patients' attitudes and beliefs towards
depression and antidepressants. *Psychological Medicine* 35 (2005) pp. 1205-
1213.

M. Lejoyeux, J. Adès
Antidepressant Discontinuation: A Review of the Literature. *Journal of Clinical
Psychiatry*, 1997; 58 (1997) (suppl 7)

M. M. Maslej, B.M., Bolker, M.J., Russell, K. Eaton, Z., Durisko, S.D., Hollon, G.M.,
Swanson, J.A., Thomson Jr., B.H., Mulsant, P.W. Andrews
The Mortality and Myocardial Effects of Antidepressants Are Moderated by
Preexisting Cardiovascular Disease: A Meta-Analysis. *Psychotherapy and
Psychosomatics* 86 (2017) pp. 268-282

D. Moher, A. Liberati, J. Tetzlaff, D.G. Altman
Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA
Statement. *British Medical Journal* 339 (2009) pp. b2535,

S. Montgomery A., Huusom J., Bothmer
A randomised study comparing escitalopram with venlafaxine XR patients in primary
care with major depressive disorder. *Neuropsychobiology* 50 (2004) pp. 57–64.

R. Mojtabai, M. Olfson
National trends in long-term use of antidepressant medications: results from the U.S.
National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Journal of Clinical
Psychiatry.* 75(2) (2014) pp. 169-77.

V. Naryan, P. H. Haddad
Antidepressant discontinuation manic states: a critical review of the literature and
suggested diagnostic criteria, *Journal of Psychopharmacology* 25 (3) (2011) pp. 306-
313

NHS Digital

Prescriptions Dispensed in the Community – statistics for England, 2006-2016.

Website: [https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-](https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/prescriptions-dispensed-in-the-community/prescriptions-dispensed-in-the-community-statistics-for-england-2006-2016-pas)

[information/publications/statistical/prescriptions-dispensed-in-the-community/prescriptions-dispensed-in-the-community-statistics-for-england-2006-2016-pas](https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/prescriptions-dispensed-in-the-community/prescriptions-dispensed-in-the-community-statistics-for-england-2006-2016-pas) (Accessed July 6th 2018).

M. Nielsen, E.H., Hansen, P.C., Gotzsche

What is the difference between dependence and withdrawal reactions? A comparison of benzodiazepines and selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors. *Addiction* 107 (2012) pp. 900–908.

S. Oehrberg P.E., Christiansen K., Behnke A.L., Borup B., Severin J., Soegaard H., Calberg R., Judge J.K., Ohrstrom P.M, Manniche PM

Paroxetine in the treatment of panic disorder. A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 167 (1995) pp. 374-9.

J. Price, P. Waller, S. Wood, A. MacKay

A comparison of the post-marketing safety of four selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors including the investigation of symptoms occurring on withdrawal. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 42, (1996) pp. 757-63.

J. Read, C., Cartwright, K., Gibson

Adverse emotional and interpersonal effects reported by 1,829 New Zealanders while taking antidepressants. *Psychiatry Research*, 216 (2014) pp. 67-73.

J. Read, J., Williams

Adverse effects of antidepressants reported by 1,431 people from 38 Countries: Emotional blunting, suicidality, and withdrawal effects. *Current Drug Safety*, 13 (2018).

Read, J. et al. (2018). Staying on and coming off: the experiences of 752 antidepressant users. Paper submitted. Based on data from Mind survey: www.mind.org.uk/news-campaigns/news/better-support-needed-for-people-on-antidepressants-says-mind/#.WpqMfodpo5s

Sir, R.F., D'Souza, s. Uguz, T. George, S., Vahip, M. Hopwood, A.J. Martin, W. Lam, T., Burt

Randomized trial of sertraline versus venlafaxine XR in major depression: efficacy and discontinuation symptoms. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 66 (2005) pp. 1312–1320.

T. Renoir

Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor Antidepressant Treatment Discontinuation Syndrome: A Review of the Clinical Evidence and the Possible Mechanisms Involved *Front Pharmacol.* 4 (2013) pp. 45

K. Richardson, C., Fox, I., Maidment, N., Steel, T.K., Loke, A., Arthur, P.K., Myint, C.M., Grossi, K., Mattishent, K., Bennet, N.L., Campbell, M., Boustani, L., Robinson, C., Brayne, F.E., Matthews, G.M., Savva
Anticholinergic drugs and risk of dementia: case-control study. *BMJ*; 361 (2018) k1315

R. A. Rivas-Vazquez, S.L. Johnson, M.A., Blais, G.J.,Rey
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor discontinuation syndrome: Understanding, recognition, and management for psychologists. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 30(5) (1999) pp. 464-469.

J.F., Rosenbaum, M.,Fava, S.L., Hoog, R.C., Ascroft, W.B., Krebs
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor discontinuation syndrome: a randomized clinical trial. *Biol Psychiatry* 44 (1998) pp. 77-87.

Royal College of Psychiatrists (2012)
Coming off Antidepressants. Website:
www.rcpsych.ac.uk/healthadvice/treatmentwellbeing/antidepressants/comingoffantidepressants.aspx. (Accessed Feb 2018).

A.F., Schatzberg
Introduction/Antidepressant Discontinuation Syndrome: an update on serotonin reuptake inhibitors . *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 58(Suppl. 7) (1997) pp. 1-5.

A.F., Schatzberg, P.H., Haddad, E.M., Kaplan, M., Lejoyeux, J.F., Rosenbaum, A.H., Young, J. Zajecka
Serotonin reuptake inhibitor discontinuation syndrome: A hypothetical definition. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 58(Suppl. 7) (1997) pp. 5-10.

M.T. Shea
Course of symptoms over follow-up, *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 49 (1992) pp. 782-87.

T. Stockmann, D., Odegaro, S. Timimi, M., Moncrieff
SSRI and SNRI withdrawal symptoms reported on an internet forum. *Int J Risk Saf Med*. 2018 May 9 (2018) doi: 10.3233/JRS-180018. [Epub ahead of print]

A. Tint, P.M. Haddad, I.M., Anderson
The effect of rate of antidepressant tapering on the incidence of discontinuation symptoms: a randomised study. *J Psychopharmacol*. 22 (3) (2008) pp.330-2

A. C. Viguera
Discontinuing antidepressant treatment in major depression, *Harvard Review of Psychiatry* 5 (1998) pp. 293-305.

J.R. Vittengl,
Poorer long-term outcomes among persons with major depressive disorder treated with medication. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 86 (2017) pp. 302-304.

C. Warner, W. Bobo, C. Warner, S. Reid, J. Rachal

Antidepressant Discontinuation Syndrome. *American Family Physician*. 74 (3) (2006) pp. 449-456.

N. Yasui-Furukori, K., Hashimoto, S., Tsuchimine, T., Tomita, N., Sugawara, M., Ishioka, K., Nakamura Characteristics of Escitalopram Discontinuation Syndrome: A Preliminary Study.

Clin Neuropharmacol.39(3) (2016) pp. 125-7.

J. Zajecka, J., Fawcett, J., Amsterdam, F., Quitkin, F., Reimherr, J., Rosenbaum, D., Michelson, C., Beasley

Safety of abrupt discontinuation of fluoxetine: a randomized, placebo-controlled study. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*.18(3) (1998) pp. 193-7.