

1 **Abstract**

2 **Purpose:** To investigate the effects of short-term, high-intensity
3 interval training (HIIT) heat acclimation (HA).

4 **Methods:** Male cyclists/triathletes were assigned into either a
5 HA ($n=13$) or a comparative (COMP, $n = 10$) group. HA
6 completed three cycling heat stress tests to exhaustion (60%
7 W_{max}) (HST1: pre HA; HST2: post HA; HST3: 7d post HA). HA
8 consisted of 30 min bouts of HIIT cycling (6 min at 50% W_{max}
9 then 12x1 min 100% W_{max} bouts with 1 min rest between each)
10 on 5 consecutive days. COMP completed HST1 and HST2 only.
11 HST and HA trials were conducted in 35°C/50% rh. Cycling
12 capacity, physiological, and perceptual data were recorded.

13 **Results:** Cycling capacity was impaired following HIIT HA
14 (77.2 ± 34.2 min vs. 56.2 ± 24.4 min, $p=0.03$) and did not return to
15 baseline following 7d of no HA (59.2 ± 37.4 min). Capacity in
16 HST1 and HST2 was similar in COMP (43.5 ± 8.3 vs. 46.8 ± 15.7
17 min, $p=0.54$). HIIT HA lowered resting rectal ($37.0\pm0.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ vs.
18 $36.8\pm0.2^{\circ}\text{C}$, $p=0.05$) and body temperature ($36.0\pm0.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ vs.
19 $35.8\pm0.3^{\circ}\text{C}$, $p=0.03$) in HST2 compared to HST1 and lowered
20 mean skin temperature ($35.4\pm0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ vs. $35.1\pm0.3^{\circ}\text{C}$, $p=0.02$) and
21 perceived strain on day 5 compared to day 1 of HA. All other
22 data were unaffected.

23 **Conclusions:** Cycling capacity was impaired in the heat
24 following 5 days of consecutive HIIT HA despite some heat
25 adaptation. Based upon our data, this approach is not
26 recommended for athletes preparing to compete in the heat;
27 however, it is possible that it may be beneficial if a state of
28 overreaching is avoided.

29
30 **Key words**

31 High-intensity interval training; heat adaptation, acclimatization,
32 over-reaching, hyperthermia

33

34 **INTRODUCTION**

35 Exercise performance in the heat is often impaired due to the
36 greater physiological strain experienced¹⁻³ but heat
37 acclimation/acclimatisation (HA) can reduce this impairment by
38 inducing a number of beneficial physiological (e.g., reduction in
39 cardiovascular strain, lower core body temperature, greater
40 electrolyte reabsorption facilitated by increases in aldosterone)
41 and perceptual adaptations (e.g. lower perceived effort and
42 thermal comfort)^{3,4}. The extent to which these adaptations to
43 heat occur depends on the magnitude of the thermal impulse,
44 which in turn depends on the intensity, duration, and frequency
45 of heat exposure³. HA can reduce actual and perceived thermal
46 strain and improve exercise performance⁴ but only ~15% of
47 athletes surveyed undertook HA prior to the 2015 IAAF World
48 Athletic Championships in Beijing⁵. The athletes that undertook
49 HA prior to the championships did so for 17 – 30 days⁵ and
50 while longer HA protocols are more effective (mean
51 performance improvement: ~+22% for 7+ days of HA)⁴,
52 prolonged HA may be difficult for many athletes to fit into their
53 schedule. Smaller but important mean improvements of ~7%
54 have also been observed following short-term HA (STHA: <7
55 days) in both time trial^{4,6} and time to exhaustion/exercise
56 capacity^{4,8} performance measures. Such protocols would be
57 attractive to both athletes and coaches.

58
59 Low-intensity/high-volume and high-intensity/low-volume
60 training both form part of an endurance athlete's training
61 structure^{9,10}. Traditional HA protocols have consisted of low-
62 intensity/high volume exercise⁴ and have attempted to induce a
63 sufficient thermal impulse by increasing the frequency and/or
64 duration of heat exposure^{3,4}. Manipulation of the exercise
65 intensity of HA protocols has received less attention, and the few
66 studies that have investigated different HA intensities have
67 reported equivocal adaptation and exercise performance data<sup>11-
68 14</sup>. Seven days of low-intensity/moderate-volume (60 min, 50%
69 VO_{2max}) HA reduced oxygen consumption, heart rate and core
70 temperature to a similar extent as a moderate-intensity/low-
71 volume protocol (~35 min, 75% VO_{2max}) suggesting that
72 elevating the exercise intensity can reduce the duration required
73 for heat adaptation¹¹. The effect of these physiological
74 adaptations on subsequent exercise performance was not
75 investigated in that study but recent data suggest that high
76 intensity STHA can improve explosive exercise performance in
77 the heat¹⁴ and either has no effect¹⁴ or impairs¹³ prolonged
78 exercise performance. Unfortunately, physiological data were
79 limited in each study and discrepancies exist in the protocols
80 used making it difficult to ascertain whether the performance
81 adaptations are specific to HA adaptations or to the exercise type
82 used in the HA. Wingfield et al.¹⁴ adopted a submaximal “high-
83 intensity” STHA approach (30 min at 40 – 70% maximal power)

84 and concluded that adaptations were exercise-specific, however,
85 20 km cycling performance was unaffected and only explosive
86 activity (maximal cycling sprint power and jump height) was
87 improved. Minimal physiological adaptations were observed and
88 it is worth noting that the thermal strain experienced (peak
89 tympanic temperature = $\sim 38^{\circ}\text{C}$) may not have been sufficient for
90 adaptation¹⁵. Schmit et al¹³ prescribed 60 min of high-intensity
91 HA based upon the participant's highest intensity training
92 sessions and observed positive physiological adaptations to the
93 heat but reported that they were offset by functional over-
94 reaching-related maladaptation.

95
96 Short-duration, high-intensity HA is an attractive proposition for
97 a time-short athlete; however, data regarding its efficacy are less
98 attractive. Wingfield et al.¹⁴ suggested that performance
99 improvements may be training-specific but it is also possible that
100 the lack of performance improvements are explained by the lack
101 of physiological adaptation. It is also possible that although an
102 increase in physiological strain is good for adaptation, too much
103 additional strain may cause maladaptation as a result of over-
104 reaching. The purpose of the present study was to investigate the
105 efficacy of a high intensity interval STHA protocol in highly
106 trained male endurance athletes in inducing beneficial
107 physiological and perceptual adaptations and on improving
108 exercise capacity in the heat.

109

110 **METHODS**

111 ***Participants***

112 Twenty-three well-trained non heat-acclimated, adult male
113 cyclists/triathletes participated in the study. Participants were
114 randomly assigned to either a heat acclimation group (HA; n =
115 13) or a comparative group (COMP; n = 10). Participants had to
116 meet the following eligibility criteria so most of the differences
117 between group demographics were trivial in size ($g < 0.2$) (Table
118 1). Participants were required to undertake regular cycling-
119 specific training ($>60 \text{ km}\cdot\text{wk}^{-1}$; $>3 \text{ h}\cdot\text{wk}^{-1}$) and have a peak
120 oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}\text{O}_{2\text{peak}}$) greater than $55 \text{ ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$.
121 Participants completed a health history questionnaire¹⁶ and
122 provided written, informed consent prior to testing. Participants
123 were blinded to the purpose of the experiment. HA participants
124 were told that we were assessing the HA protocol whereas the
125 COMP group were told that we were assessing cycling capacity
126 test variability. The study was conducted in accordance with
127 Helsinki Declaration II. Ethical approval was granted by the
128 University of Roehampton's ethical committee (LSC 14/102).

129

130 ***Experimental overview (Figure 1)***

131 Participants in the HA group visited the laboratory on nine
132 occasions whereas those in the COMP group attended on three
133 (Figure 1). During the preliminary visit, anthropometric data

134 were collected and participants completed an incremental cycle
135 test to determine work max (W_{\max}). 3 – 7d after the W_{\max} test all
136 participants completed the first heat stress test (HST1). HA
137 participants then completed 5 consecutive days of HA starting 3
138 – 4 days after HST1 while COMP participants maintained their
139 normal training. Both groups undertook the second HST (HST2)
140 9–11d after HST1- for the HA group this was 3–4d after the final
141 HA session. HA participants completed a third HST (HST3) 7–
142 9d after HST2. Between HST2 and HST3 participants undertook
143 their normal training (see Table 1) and avoided exposure to high
144 temperatures. Trials were completed during the autumn and
145 winter months. Participants recorded their dietary and activity
146 patterns for the 24h before HST1 and repeated this for the 24h
147 prior to subsequent HSTs. Participants maintained their usual
148 dietary and physical activity patterns at all other times during the
149 experiment.

150

151 *Preliminary laboratory visit*

152 Body mass (Seca, model 813, Germany) and stature (Harpenden
153 Stadiometer, Holtain Ltd, UK) were recorded before percentage
154 fat was measured using the whole body air displacement
155 plethysmography method (BodPod, Cosmed, Italy).
156 Anthropometric data were collected by an ISAK qualified
157 technician. Maximal power output and oxygen uptake were then
158 measured simultaneously using a modified version of the
159 protocol used by Kuipers et al. ¹⁷ performed in ambient
160 conditions ($22 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$, $55 \pm 3\%$ rh). Participants cycled for 5 min
161 at 100W on a cycle ergometer (Monark 874E, Vansbro, Sweden)
162 before undertaking a continuous incremental maximal cycle test
163 during which workload was increased by 50W every 2.5 min
164 until a heart rate (HR) of $160 \text{ b}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ was reached and then by
165 25W every 2.5 min until volitional exhaustion. Maximum
166 workload was calculated using the equation of Kuipers et al. ¹⁷.
167 Breath by breath gas exchange was continuously measured using
168 a calibrated on-line metabolic cart (Oxycon Pro, Jaeger,
169 Germany). Maximal oxygen uptake was the highest value over
170 any 10 s period using a rolling 5 breath average.

171

172 *Heat Stress Tests (HST)*

173 Each HST involved cycling at 60% W_{\max} on a cycle ergometer
174 (Monark 874E, Vansbro, Sweden) at a self-selected cadence
175 until volitional fatigue in hot conditions (35°C and 50% rh). The
176 HSTs allowed for comparative physiological, perceptual, and
177 exercise capacity data to be collected before and after the
178 intervention. Cycling capacity was defined as the time at which
179 participants voluntarily terminated exercise or experimenters
180 ended the trial because the participant was unable to maintain the
181 required cadence ($\pm 5 \text{ rpm}$). Participants were not provided with
182 any indication of the duration cycled until the completion of all
183 visits. A fan was placed ~ 1 m in front of the participants

184 providing airflow of $\sim 4.0 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$. Participants completed all HSTs
185 at the same time of day ($\pm 60 \text{ min}$), and without verbal
186 encouragement.

187
188 Prior to the HST, participants sat in the chamber for 10 min
189 during which time a capillary blood sample was taken. Rectal
190 temperature (T_r), skin temperature (T_{sk}), HR, thermal sensation
191 (TS) and rating of perceived exertion (RPE) were recorded at 5
192 min intervals and upon termination of the test. Participants were
193 not informed of the time-points at which perceptual data were
194 recorded. A post-exercise capillary blood sample was collected
195 upon termination while the participant remained seated on the
196 ergometer. Participants drank chilled ($\sim 6 - 8^\circ\text{C}$), water *ad*
197 *libitum* and the volume consumed was recorded. Post-exercise,
198 dry nude body mass was recorded once the participant had left
199 the environmental chamber to estimate sweat loss and sweat rate.

200 201 ***Heat acclimation***

202 HA participants sat in the chamber for 10 min to establish
203 baseline values before cycling (Monark 874E, Vansbro,
204 Sweden) for 30 min in the heat (35°C ; 50% rh) without a fan.
205 Each HA bout started with a 6 min sub-maximal ($50\% W_{max}$)
206 warm-up followed by 12 x 1 min intervals at $100\% W_{max}$
207 interspersed by 1 min bouts of unloaded spinning. Participants
208 cycled at a self-selected cadence and were encouraged to
209 complete as much work as possible during each 30 min bout.
210 Power output was recorded during each sprint and T_r , T_{sk} , HR,
211 TS and RPE were recorded at 5 min intervals. A capillary blood
212 sample was taken immediately before and after each HA bout.
213 Participants drank chilled water *ad libitum* and the volume
214 consumed was recorded. Post-exercise nude body mass was
215 recorded upon the completion of each HA session.

216 217 ***Measurements***

218 Pre-trial set-up involved participants recording their nude body
219 mass (Seca, model 813, Germany), self-inserting a rectal
220 thermistor (REC-U-VL3-0, Grant Instruments (Cambridge)
221 Ltd., UK) $\sim 10 \text{ cm}$ past the anal sphincter, attaching a HR belt
222 (Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland) and having four skin
223 thermistors (EUS-U-VL-3, Grant Instruments (Cambridge) Ltd.,
224 UK.) attached. The four surface skin thermistors were attached
225 to the participant's sternal notch, forearm, thigh and calf using a
226 transparent dressing (Tagaderm, 3M Health Care, USA) and
227 water-proof tape (Transpore, 3M Health Care, USA) for the
228 calculation of weighted mean T_{sk} using the equation of
229 Ramanathan¹⁸. Rectal temperature and mean T_{sk} were then used
230 to estimate mean body temperature using the equation of Burton
231¹⁹. Thermistors were connected to a portable data logger
232 (Squirrel 2020 Series, Grant Instruments (Cambridge) Ltd., UK).
233 Ratings of perceived exertion (RPE) were recorded using a 6 –

234 20 scale (2) and thermal sensation (TS) was rated with an nine-
235 point scale, with 4 as comfortable (neutral) and 8 as unbearably
236 hot (29). Sweat loss and sweat rate were estimated using changes
237 in nude body mass accounting for the volume of fluid consumed
238 and urine excreted.

239

240 Capillary blood samples collected before and after each HST and
241 HA session were immediately analyzed for hemoglobin (Hb) and
242 hematocrit (Hct) using reflectance photometry (Insight Hb
243 testing system, ACON laboratories, San Diego, USA). Plasma
244 volume (PV) was estimated using the methods of Dill and Costill
245 ²⁰. Additional capillary samples were collected before each HST
246 and on days 1 and 5 of the heat acclimation bouts in microvette
247 tubes containing clotting activator (Microvette CB300Z,
248 Sartstedt, Leicester, UK) for serum separation. These samples
249 were left at room temperature for 1 h and then centrifuged at
250 3860 rpm for 15 min at room temperature as per the
251 manufacturer's guidelines. Serum was removed and stored in
252 Eppendorf tubes at -80°C for analysis of serum aldosterone
253 concentrations via enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
254 (Aldosterone Parameter Assay Kit, KGE016, R&D Systems
255 Europe Ltd, Abingdon, UK). The laboratory-specific coefficient
256 of variation of the assay was 10.6%.

257

258 *Statistical Analyses*

259 Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation or median [25
260 - 75 % quartiles]. Physiological and performance data from the
261 HA group were compared using one-way factorial analysis of
262 variance. HST and HA data were compared separately- HST data
263 had three levels (HST1, HST2, HST3) whereas HA data had two
264 levels (day 1 and day 5). Following a significant *F* value, post
265 hoc analyses with Bonferroni adjustments for multiple
266 comparisons were conducted. Perceptual data were compared
267 using Friedman's ANOVA with Wilcoxon signed-rank tests run
268 following a significant main effect. Secondary correlation
269 analysis was run between changes in capacity, maximal oxygen
270 uptake and W_{max} to see whether capacity changes could be
271 explained by either marker of fitness/training status

272

273 Physiological and performance data from the COMP group were
274 compared using paired samples t-tests. Perceptual data were
275 compared using Wilcoxon signed-rank tests. The variability of
276 the capacity test was quantified by calculating the co-efficient of
277 variation (CV) between COMP group performance times (HST1
278 vs. HST2).

279

280 SPSS (Version 22; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used and
281 the alpha level was set a priori at 0.05. For parametric data,
282 Hedges' *g* effect sizes were calculated and interpreted using the
283 following classifications; medium effect: 0.5 < 0.8, and large

284 effect: > 0.8 ²¹. For non-parametric, perceptual data, r effect
285 sizes were calculated and interpreted using the following
286 classifications: medium effect: $0.3 < 0.5$, large effect: $0.5 < 0.7$,
287 and very large effect: $0.7 - 1.0$ ²¹.

288

289 **RESULTS**

290 *Heat acclimation data (Table 2)*

291 11 participants completed all 60 sprints, 1 participant completed
292 59 sprints and 1 completed 57. Mean and total work did not differ
293 between day 1 and 5 ($p = 0.74$, $g = -0.05$ and $p = 0.48$, $g = -0.11$,
294 respectively). Mean T_{sk} ($p = 0.02$, $g = 0.64$), TS ($p = 0.01$, $r =$
295 0.71), and RPE ($p = 0.04$, $r = 0.56$) were lower on day 5
296 compared to day 1 as were peak TS ($p = 0.01$, $r = 0.71$) and RPE
297 ($p = 0.049$, $r = 0.44$). All other variables were unaffected.

298

299 *Heat Stress Test data: Cycling Capacity (Figures 2 and 3)*

300 For the HA group (Figure 2), cycling capacity was greatest in
301 HST1 (77.2 ± 34.2 min) compared to HST2 (56.2 ± 24.4 min; p
302 $= 0.03$, $g = 0.68$). HST1 capacity was greater than HST3 but this
303 difference was not statistically significant (59.2 ± 37.4 min; $p =$
304 0.11 , $g = 0.50$). HST2 and HST3 capacity times were similar (p
305 > 0.99 ; $g = 0.09$). Two participants improved in HST2 following
306 HA (+14.8% and +20.8%) but the other 11 participants did
307 worse following HA (range: -2.6% to -64.1%). The mean
308 percentage change following HA (HST1 vs. HST2) was $-22.0 \pm$
309 25.7% . There was no correlation between the percentage change
310 in endurance capacity (HST1 v HST2) following HA and
311 $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ ($r = 0.42$, $p = 0.15$) or W_{max} ($r = 0.32$, $p = 0.28$).

312

313 For the COMP group (Figure 3), exercise capacity in HST1 and
314 HST2 were statistically similar (43.5 ± 8.3 vs. 46.8 ± 15.7 min;
315 $p = 0.54$; $g = 0.25$). The coefficient of variation between HST1
316 and HST2 in the COMP group was $15.1 \pm 16.0\%$.

317

318 *Heat Stress Test data: Physiological and perceptual responses* 319 *(Tables 3 and 4)*

320 HA participants started HST2 with a lower resting T_r ($p = 0.05$,
321 $g = 0.67$) and T_{body} ($p = 0.03$, $g = 0.68$), than HST1. SR was not
322 statistically lower in HST3 than HST1 but a medium effect size
323 reduction was observed ($p = 0.16$, $g = 0.52$). TS at volitional
324 termination was higher in HST1 than HST2 ($p < 0.01$; $r = 0.70$)
325 and HST3 ($p = 0.02$; $r < 0.65$). In COMP, resting PV was not
326 statistically higher in HST2 than HST1 ($p = 0.15$; $g = 0.86$) and
327 final TS was not statistically lower in HST2 compared to HST1
328 ($p = 0.33$; $r = 0.31$). All other data were similar between trials
329 for HA and COMP groups ($p > 0.05$; $g < 0.5/r < 0.3$).

330

331 **DISCUSSION**

332 The main findings from the present study indicate that five
333 consecutive days of high intensity HA results in small reductions

334 in actual and perceived strain but impairs subsequent exercise
335 capacity. The capacity decrements reported in the current study
336 are in line with other high intensity STHA data ¹³; however, this
337 is the first high-intensity STHA investigation to observe lower
338 core body temperatures following such HA.

339

340 We observed a small reduction in resting core temperature
341 between HST1 and HST2 indicating partial adaptation (Table 3);
342 however, we did not observe changes in HR, PV, aldosterone, or
343 SR. These data are in line with some ^{13,14}, but not all ¹² high
344 intensity STHA studies. Prolonged bouts of STHA can increase
345 PV ^{6,22} but medium-term HA is more effective at doing so ²³
346 suggesting that longer heat exposure might be required for PV
347 adaptations. Longer HA regimens may also be needed for other
348 fluid-related heat adaptations such as increases in SR and
349 aldosterone ^{8,12,24}. HR adaptations often occur first ²⁵ and so it is
350 a little surprising that no such adaptations were observed;
351 however, HR adaptations are often observed with PV
352 adaptations and so the lack of hypervolemia may explain the lack
353 of bradycardia ^{26,27}. To initiate adaptation, it has been proposed
354 that the thermal impulse must exceed a critical threshold ³ and
355 this may explain the small physiological adaptation seen in the
356 current study. Although individual variation exists, time spent
357 with core temperatures $\geq 38.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ may be required for heat
358 adaptation ¹⁵ and the highest mean body core temperature
359 recorded in the present study was only $38.3 \pm 0.4^{\circ}\text{C}$. Other high
360 intensity STHA studies reported similar thermal impulses and
361 also failed to achieve a sustained elevation in body core
362 temperature $\geq 38.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ resulting in minimal physiological
363 adaptations ¹²⁻¹⁴. In combination, these data suggest that short
364 duration HIIT in the heat provides an insufficient thermal
365 impulse for extensive physiological heat adaptation. Perceptual
366 data showed positive adaptations with reduced mean RPE and
367 peak TS at day 5 compared to day 1 of HA. Reduced perceived
368 levels of effort and thermal comfort/sensation are consistently
369 reported after successful HA and such improvements would be
370 expected to improve volitional exercise ^{4,12}; however, this is not
371 always the case ¹²⁻¹⁴. The lower peak TS in HST2 than HST1 is
372 likely to be due to the lower core temperature and exercise
373 duration in HST2 rather than being an indication of any
374 perceptual adaptation.

375

376 The capacity impairments observed in our study are in line with,
377 but much greater than, Schmit and colleagues ¹³ who reported
378 that high-intensity HA impaired 20 km time trial performance (-
379 1.7%). The authors proposed that high-intensity HA may induce
380 over-reaching and maladaptation in non-acclimated athletes and
381 our greater impairments (-22%) may be due to a greater over-
382 reaching from the higher exercise intensity used. In temperate
383 conditions, performance decrements have been reported in

384 cyclists who were in a state of functional-overreaching (F-OR)
385 following a high training load, but these decrements were
386 reversed following a period of tapering²⁸.
387 The combined stress of HIIT in the heat will result in a greater
388 risk of cumulative fatigue and may explain the performance
389 decrements seen in our findings. We did not control our
390 participants' training loads during the 5 days of HA and so it is
391 possible that overall training load was greater, although
392 participants reported reducing their training due to the demands
393 of the HA. Training load data would have provided a useful
394 insight into the possible role of cumulative fatigue during HIIT
395 heat acclimation. Schmit et al.¹³ reported that high-intensity HA
396 impaired 20 km time trial performance but that the impairment
397 was reversed following a one-week taper during which time
398 participants had their normal training load reduced by ~50%. In
399 the present study, there was a small recovery in exercise capacity
400 in HST3 compared to HST2 but this did not reach, let alone
401 surpass, baseline levels. We did not control the training loads of
402 our participants during the 5-7 days between HST2 and HST3
403 and therefore it is possible that the sustained reduction was
404 observed as a result of a greater overall training load and
405 cumulative fatigue.

406

407 **PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS**

408 Although short-duration, high-intensity HA protocols would be
409 attractive to time-short athletes and coaches, we found this
410 approach to have minimal effects on key physiological and
411 perceptual markers of heat adaptation and to markedly reduce
412 subsequent exercise capacity. We did not regulate non-HA
413 training and so it is possible that in such instances, this approach
414 results in cumulative fatigue and overreaching. Achieving an
415 optimal and appropriate thermal strain via a more traditional HA
416 approach has been consistently demonstrated and so further
417 investigation into developing a HA protocol that includes a blend
418 of exercise intensities with an appropriate period of tapering may
419 be beneficial to the time sensitive athlete in preparation for
420 competition.

421

422 **CONCLUSION**

423 Despite some evidence of thermoregulatory and perceptual
424 adaptations following high-intensity STHA, exercise capacity in
425 the heat is impaired in well-trained endurance cyclists.
426 Cumulative fatigue and insufficient recovery from 5 consecutive
427 days of HIIT HA in conjunction with normal training may
428 explain this impairment. Based upon our data, high intensity
429 STHA is not recommended for individuals preparing to
430 compete/exercise in endurance events in the heat; however, it is
431 possible that it may be beneficial if careful consideration is paid
432 to managing the overall training load and a state of overreaching
433 is avoided.

434

435

436 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

437 We express our gratitude to the participants for their efforts
438 throughout the study and to Lauren McCormack, Fernando
439 Teles, and Manuela Chianca for their help with data collection.

440 We would also like to acknowledge the reviewers for their
441 considered and constructive comments and the editor for
442 facilitating a very useful review process. The authors have no
443 conflicts of interest to declare.

444

445 **REFERENCES**

- 446 1. Guy JH, Deakin GB, Edwards AM, Miller CM, Pyne DB.
447 Adaptation to hot environmental conditions: an
448 exploration of the performance basis, procedures and
449 future directions to optimise opportunities for elite
450 athletes. *Sports Med.* Mar 2015;45(3):303-311.
- 451 2. Tatterson AJ, Hahn AG, Martin DT, Febbraio MA.
452 Effects of heat stress on physiological responses and
453 exercise performance in elite cyclists. *J Sci Med Sport.*
454 Jun 2000;3(2):186-193.
- 455 3. Taylor NA. Human heat adaptation. *Compr Physiol.* Jan
456 2014;4(1):325-365.
- 457 4. Tyler CJ, Reeve T, Hodges GJ, Cheung SS. The Effects
458 of Heat Adaptation on Physiology, Perception and
459 Exercise Performance in the Heat: A Meta-Analysis.
460 *Sports Med.* Nov 2016;46(11):1699-1724.
- 461 5. Periard JD, Racinais S, Timpka T, Dahlstrom O, Spreco
462 A, Jacobsson J, Bargarioria V, Halje K, Alonso JM.
463 Strategies and factors associated with preparing for
464 competing in the heat: a cohort study at the 2015 IAAF
465 World Athletics Championships. *Br J Sports Med.* Feb
466 2017;51(4):264-270.
- 467 6. Garrett AT, Creasy R, Rehrer NJ, Patterson MJ, Cotter
468 JD. Effectiveness of short-term heat acclimation for
469 highly trained athletes. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* May
470 2012;112(5):1827-1837.
- 471 7. Garrett AT, Rehrer NJ, Patterson MJ. Induction and
472 decay of short-term heat acclimation in moderately and
473 highly trained athletes. *Sports Med.* Sep 1
474 2011;41(9):757-771.
- 475 8. Sunderland C, Morris JG, Nevill ME. A heat acclimation
476 protocol for team sports. *Br J Sports Med.* May
477 2008;42(5):327-333.
- 478 9. Laursen PB. Training for intense exercise performance:
479 high-intensity or high-volume training? *Scand J Med Sci*
480 *Sports.* Oct 2010;20 Suppl 2:1-10.
- 481 10. Seiler S. What is best practice for training intensity and
482 duration distribution in endurance athletes? *Int J Sports*
483 *Physiol Perform.* Sep 2010;5(3):276-291.
- 484 11. Houmard JA, Costill DL, Davis JA, Mitchell JB, Pascoe
485 DD, Robergs RA. The influence of exercise intensity on
486 heat acclimation in trained subjects. *Med Sci Sports*
487 *Exerc.* Oct 1990;22(5):615-620.
- 488 12. Kelly M, Gatin PB, Dwyer DB, Sostaric S, Snow RJ.
489 Short Duration Heat Acclimation in Australian Football
490 Players. *J Sports Sci Med.* Mar 2016;15(1):118-125.
- 491 13. Schmit C, Duffield R, Hausswirth C, Brisswalter J, Le
492 Meur Y. Optimizing Heat Acclimation for Endurance
493 Athletes: High- vs Low-Intensity Training. *Int J Sports*
494 *Physiol Perform.* Sep 5 2017:1-24.

- 495 14. Wingfield GL, Gale R, Minett GM, Marino FE, Skein M.
496 The effect of high versus low intensity heat acclimation
497 on performance and neuromuscular responses. *J Therm*
498 *Biol.* May 2016;58:50-59.
- 499 15. Gibson OR, Dennis A, Parfitt T, Taylor L, Watt PW,
500 Maxwell NS. Extracellular Hsp72 concentration relates
501 to a minimum endogenous criteria during acute exercise-
502 heat exposure. *Cell Stress Chaperones.* May
503 2014;19(3):389-400.
- 504 16. American College of Sports Medicine Position Stand and
505 American Heart Association. Recommendations for
506 cardiovascular screening, staffing, and emergency
507 policies at health/fitness facilities. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*
508 Jun 1998;30(6):1009-1018.
- 509 17. Kuipers H, Verstappen FT, Keizer HA, Geurten P, van
510 Kranenburg G. Variability of aerobic performance in the
511 laboratory and its physiologic correlates. *Int J Sports*
512 *Med.* Aug 1985;6(4):197-201.
- 513 18. Ramanathan NL. A New Weighting System for Mean
514 Surface Temperature of the Human Body. *J Appl*
515 *Physiol.* May 1964;19:531-533.
- 516 19. Burton AC. Human Calorimetry: The average
517 temperature of the tissues of the body. *J Nutr.* 1935;9.
- 518 20. Dill DB, Costill DL. Calculation of percentage changes
519 in volumes of blood, plasma, and red cells in
520 dehydration. *J Appl Physiol.* Aug 1974;37(2):247-248.
- 521 21. Cohen J. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral*
522 *sciences.* New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum; 1988.
- 523 22. Armstrong LE, Francesconi RP, Kraemer WJ, Leva N,
524 De Luca JP, Hubbard RW. Plasma cortisol, renin, and
525 aldosterone during an intense heat acclimation program.
526 *Int J Sports Med.* Feb 1989;10(1):38-42.
- 527 23. Gibson OR, Turner G, Tuttle JA, Taylor L, Watt PW,
528 Maxwell NS. Heat acclimation attenuates physiological
529 strain and the HSP72, but not HSP90alpha, mRNA
530 response to acute normobaric hypoxia. *J Appl Physiol*
531 *(1985).* Oct 15 2015;119(8):889-899.
- 532 24. Garrett AT, Goosens NG, Rehrer NJ, Patterson MJ,
533 Cotter JD. Induction and decay of short-term heat
534 acclimation. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* Dec 2009;107(6):659-
535 670.
- 536 25. Pandolf KB, Burse RL, Goldman RF. Role of physical
537 fitness in heat acclimatisation, decay and reinduction.
538 *Ergonomics.* Jul 1977;20(4):399-408.
- 539 26. Senay LC, Mitchell D, Wyndham CH. Acclimatization
540 in a hot, humid environment: body fluid adjustments. *J*
541 *Appl Physiol.* May 1976;40(5):786-796.
- 542 27. Sawka MN, Wenger CB, Pandolf KB. Thermoregulatory
543 Responses to Acute Exercise-Heat Stress and Heat

544 Acclimation. *Comprehensive Physiology*: John Wiley &
545 Sons, Inc.; 2010.
546 28. Aubry A, Hausswirth C, Louis J, Coutts AJ, Y LEM.
547 Functional overreaching: the key to peak performance
548 during the taper? *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* Sep
549 2014;46(9):1769-1777.
550
551