

## DOCTORAL THESIS

### Art history in an infant primary school an intervention in the curriculum

Pitfield Worsley, Laura

*Award date:*  
2011

*Awarding institution:*  
University of Roehampton

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

#### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

ART HISTORY IN AN INFANT PRIMARY SCHOOL:  
AN INTERVENTION IN THE CURRICULUM

Laura Pitfield Worsley

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of  
the requirements for the degree of  
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Faculty of Education  
ROEHAMPTON UNIVERSITY

London

June, 2011

## ABSTRACT

This research set out to introduce art history in the art curriculum in an infant primary school in England. The intention was to broaden the curriculum to include interpreting art alongside making it. At the time the research began the National Art and Design Curriculum (2005) for Key Stage One included an art historical strand of learning known as Attainment Target Two (AT2) which included learning about art and artists from different times and cultures. Previous research indicated this strand of the art curriculum was overlooked by generalist classroom teachers for various reasons.

A whole school action research project was undertaken lasting a full academic year. The participants were five teachers including the headteacher, three classroom teaching assistants, eighty four pupils, aged between four and seven years, and the researcher. The research tested out and evaluated a strategy for interpreting artworks. This was designed by the researcher and taught by the teachers in the classroom. The interpretive strategy for engaging and enquiring about art (known as the ISEE) included information about the art and artist in the final step of interpretation. The action research consisted of three cycles: i) preparation, planning and teacher training; ii) teachers implementing the ISEE and iii) teacher-designed lessons and research evaluation. Systematic reflection and evaluation of actions was carried out on two levels by the action team and the lead researcher and final reflective and thematic analyses were carried out by the latter in order to answer the research questions.

The main finding was that the ISEE facilitated art interpretation in the classroom. Other significant findings were that i) interpreting paintings was inclusive of all pupils across the age groups and learning ability spectra; ii) the pupils' affective response (Iser, 2006) often drove their cognition and this challenged the theory underpinning the research that art is interpreted through symbol references in meaning making; iii) when pupils used their imagination and affective response they were able to accommodate the factual information they were given about the paintings and artists; iv) despite struggling to include this information in their teaching at times, the teachers recognised that it added value to the art curriculum and the pupils expressed great interest in it and iv) the teachers preferred to combine art interpretation with other subjects such as literacy, citizenship and PSHE.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am deeply grateful to many people and Roehampton University for providing the opportunity, support and resources for me to conduct this research, reflect on it and reach conclusions that I hope will contribute to the work of others. In particular, I would like to express my thanks to my Director of Studies, Professor Rachel Mason, for opening my eyes to the world of art education and for her wisdom and guidance. I am also very grateful to my supervisor, Dr. Nicholas Houghton, for his big picture views, thoughtful insights, encouragement and useful and amusing analogies. As well, my thanks to Dr Dorothy Rowe for her help as 2<sup>nd</sup> supervisor, in the initial stages of this research.

Roehampton University provided a stimulating environment and I met and studied with a wide body of interesting and thought provoking academics. My fellow colleagues in the Centre for International Research on Creativity and Learning in Education (CIRCLE) with whom I shared and argued ideas back and forth provided a lifeline on many occasions and I wish them all the best of luck. In particular, I would like to thank Dr. Maho Sato for her friendship.

For an entire year, I experienced life in a infant primary school alongside the headteacher, teachers, pupils and parents and I am enormously grateful for this opportunity and the friendships that it spawned; it was invaluable. My dear thanks to my mother, Di Pitfield McAvoy, who introduced me to Judkins and art history and Leslie Stratford, an artist and fellow art historian for the many times their insights and humour encouraged me on this journey.

Most of all, my great thanks to my dear husband and friend, Jonathan who made it possible for me to carry out this research and to each of our four children, Nicholas, Oliver, Hugo and Charlotte. They have supported, challenged and indulged me at every turn and I know they have waited patiently for this day to come. Above all, I hope they take from this example the value of pursuing challenges they believe are important.

For my father

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title page	
Abstract	
Acknowledgements	
Table of contents	
Bibliography	
List of Appendices	
List of Figures	
List of Tables	
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	xiv
<b>I. BACKGROUND TO THE RESEARCH</b>	xiv
<b>II. WORKING DEFINITIONS OF KEY CONCEPTS</b>	xvi
II. i Art history	xvi
II. ii Art education	xvi
II. iii Visual literacy	xvii
<b>III. BROAD PROBLEM AREA</b>	xvii
III.i Locating the research	xix
III. ii Art history in the twenty-first century	xix
III. iii Why learn about art and artists in primary education?	xx
III. iv Mainstream primary and secondary art education	xxi
III. v. Critical studies in secondary education	xxii
<b>IV. SPECIFIC PROBLEM AREA</b>	xxiii
<b>V. SUMMARY PROBLEM STATEMENT</b>	xxiv
V. i Purpose of study	xxv
V.ii Research questions	xxv
<b>VI. ORGANISATION OF THESIS</b>	xxvi
<b>CHAPTER ONE: CONCEPTIONS OF ART HISTORY, TEACHING AND INTERPRETATION</b>	
1.0 Introduction	1
<b>1.1 CHANGING PARADIGMS OF ART HISTORY</b>	1
1.1.1 Twenty-first century	8
1.1.2 Implications for research	9
1.1.3 Relationship to art appreciation and criticism	10
1.1.4 Implications for research	11
<b>1.2 RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN ART EDUCATION IN THE USA AND UNITED KINGDOM</b>	11
<b>1.3 INTERPRETING AND MAKING MEANING IN ART</b>	13
1.3.1 Theories of meaning construction and interpretation	16
1.3.2 Iconographical/Iconological meaning in art (Panofsky, 1955)	16
1.3.2.1 Step One: Primary or natural subject matter	18
1.3.2.2 Step Two: Secondary or conventional subject matter	19
1.3.2.3 Step Three: Iconological, intrinsic meaning or content	20
1.3.2.4 Criticism	20
1.3.3 Theories of art as communication	21
1.3.3.1 Theory of symbolism	21
1.3.3.2 Theories of expression and emotion	22
1.3.4 Expression and reception	24
1.3.4.1 Reader response theory	24

1.3.4.2 Theory of affect	25
<b>1.4 CONSTRUCTIVIST AND SOCIAL CONSTRUCTIVIST THEORIES OF LEARNING</b>	26
1.4.1 Constructivist theory	26
1.4.2 Social constructivist theory	29
<b>1.5 KEY FINDINGS</b>	31
<b>CHAPTER TWO: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	33
2.0 Introduction	33
2.0.1 The school context	33
<b>2.1 CHOICE OF METHODOLOGY</b>	34
2.1.1 What is action research?	35
2.1.2 Rationale	35
2.1.3 Collaborative action research	36
2.1.4 Limitations of action research	37
2.1.5 Selecting Elliott's model (1991)	39
2.1.6. Developing a hybrid version of action research	40
<b>2.2 DESIGNING AND PLANNING OF RESEARCH</b>	42
2.2.1 Negotiating the research	42
2.2.2 Research model	43
2.2.3 Aims	44
2.2.4 Timescale	44
2.2.5 Participants	45
2.2.5.1 Action team	45
2.2.5.2 Observation team	46
2.2.5.3 Others	46
2.2.5.4 Pupils	46
2.2.6 Researcher role and responsibilities	46
2.2.6.1 Trainer	47
2.2.6.2 Co-ordinator/organiser	47
2.2.6.3 Observer	47
2.2.6.4 Researcher	48
2.2.7 Potential concerns	48
2.2.8 Ethical considerations	48
<b>2.3 CYCLES</b>	49
2.3.0 Introduction	49
2.3.1 Cycle One (Preparation and planning)	50
2.3.1.1 Aims and roles	50
2.3.1.2 Action team	50
2.3.1.3 Researcher	50
2.3.1.4 Actions	51
2.3.1.5 Activities	51
2.3.2 Cycle Two: Implementing the ISEE	52
2.3.2.1 Aims and roles	52
2.3.2.2 Action team	52
2.3.2.3 Observation team	52
2.3.2.4 Researcher	52
2.3.2.5 Actions	52
2.3.2.6 Activities	53

2.3.3 Cycle Three: Teachers' lessons and research evaluation	53
2.3.3.1 Aims and roles	53
2.3.3.2 Action team	53
2.3.3.3 Observation team	53
2.3.3.4 Researcher	53
2.3.3.5 Actions	54
2.3.3.6 Activities	54
<b>2.4 DESIGN OF DATA COLLECTION INSTRUMENTS</b>	<b>54</b>
2.4.1 Instruments	55
2.4.2 Diagnostic	56
2.4.2.1 Staff questionnaire	56
2.4.2.2 Piloting staff questionnaire	57
2.4.2.3 Pupil interview schedule	57
2.4.2.4 Piloting interview schedule	58
2.4.2.5 Pupils' group interview schedule	59
2.4.3 Ongoing	59
2.4.3.1 Teacher record form	59
2.4.3.2 Observer record form	60
2.4.4 Additional instruments for data gathering	61
2.4.4.1 Tape recordings and transcripts	61
2.4.4.2 Researcher's fieldnotes	62
2.4.4.3 Reflective journal	63
2.4.4.4 Pupils' work	65
2.4.4.5 Photographs	65
2.4.5 Summary of data collection instruments	65
<b>2.5 DATA ANALYSIS</b>	<b>67</b>
2.5.1 Reflection and reflexivity	67
2.5.2 Thematic analysis	68
2.5.3 Process of data analysis	70
<b>CHAPTER THREE: DEVELOPING THE ISEE STRATEGY</b>	<b>75</b>
3.0 Introduction	75
<b>3.1 CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK</b>	<b>75</b>
3.1.2 Forms of knowledge	76
<b>3.2 THE NATIONAL CURRICULUM AND OTHER FRAMEWORKS</b>	<b>76</b>
3.2.1 Historical/cultural	77
3.2.2 Critical/analytical	78
3.2.3 Sensory	79
3.2.4 Art making	79
3.2.5 Questioning frameworks	80
<b>3.3 SUMMARY</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>3.4 DETAILS OF ISEE STRATEGY, ART INFORMATION AND PAINTINGS</b>	<b>84</b>
3.4.1 The Interpretive Strategy for Engaging and Enquiring about art (ISEE)	84
3.4.2 Rationale	87

3.4.3 Step One: What do you see?	87
3.4.4 Step Two: What is in the painting? How do you feel about it?	88
3.4.4.1 Part A: question and analyse	88
3.4.4.2 Part B: relate to	88
3.4.5 Step Three: Interpreting with art information	89
3.4.5.1 Selecting criteria for art information criteria	89
<b>3.5 RESEARCH LESSONS</b>	92
3.5.1 Design	93
<b>3.6 SELECTION OF ARTWORKS</b>	96
3.6.1 Using fine art ‘masterpiece’ paintings	96
3.6.2 Informing the selection process	97
3.6.3 Issues in selection	99
3.6.4 Criteria for selection	100
3.6.5 Inclusion and exclusion criteria	100
<b>3.7 SELECTION OF PAINTINGS</b>	101
3.7.1 Rationale	101
3.7.2 <i>Tropical Storm, Surprised!</i>	104
3.7.3 <i>Courtyard of a House in Delft</i>	105
3.7.4 <i>Le Domaine d’Arnheim</i>	106
3.7.5 <i>The Graham Children</i>	106
3.7.6 <i>The Fall of Icarus</i>	107
3.7.7 <i>The Portrait of Mr and Mrs Andrews</i>	108
3.7.8 <i>Untitled: Grey and Brown</i>	108
<b>3.8 SUMMARY</b>	109
<b>CHAPTER FOUR: PREPARATION</b>	110
4.0 Introduction	110
<b>4.1 CYCLE ONE</b>	110
4.1.1 Aims	110
4.1.2 Participants	110
4.1.3 Details of actions	110
4.1.4 Timetable of actions	112
<b>4.2 RESEARCHER PREPARATION</b>	112
4.2.1 Introduction	112
<b>4.3 DIAGNOSTIC</b>	113
4.3.1 Staff questionnaire	113
4.3.2 Findings	113
4.3.2.1 Past experience of art	113
4.3.2.2 Strategies for engaging pupils with art	114
4.3.2.3 Motivation to participate	114
4.3.2.4 Confidence talking about art	114
4.3.2.5 Identified resources required for teaching	115
4.3.3 Pupil interviews	115
4.3.4 Findings	117
4.3.4.1 Experience, motivation and preference in art	117
4.3.4.2 Disposition to talk about paintings	119



<b>4.4 PARTICIPANTS' PREPARATION</b>	120
4.4.1 CPD training and ISEE practice sessions	120
4.4.1.1 Description	120
4.4.2 Demonstration lesson	122
4.4.2.1 Description	123
4.4.3 ISEE practice sessions (#1 and #2)	125
4.4.3.1 Description	125
<b>4.5 TEAM REFLECTION AND EVALUATION</b>	127
<b>4.6 RESEARCHER REFLECTIONS</b>	130
4.6.1 Action research	131
4.6.2 Researcher roles	134
4.6.3 Methodology for changing practice	134
4.6.4 Teaching and Learning	135
4.6.4.1 Teachers	135
4.6.4.2 Pupils	135
4.6.4.3 Art history subject knowledge	136
4.6.5 Selection of paintings	137
<b>4.7 TEAM RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CYCLE TWO</b>	139
<b>CHAPTER FIVE: IMPLEMENTING THE ISEE STRATEGY</b>	140
5.0 Introduction	140
<b>5.1 CYCLE TWO</b>	140
5.1.1 Aims	140
5.1.2 Timetable	140
5.1.3 Participants	141
5.1.3.1 Action team	141
5.1.3.2 Observation team	142
5.1.3.3 Pupils	142
5.1.3.4 Others	142
5.1.4 Details of actions	142
<b>5.2 RESEARCH LESSONS</b>	144
5.2.1 Lesson One	144
5.2.1.1 Description	144
5.2.1.2 Team reflection and evaluation	147
5.2.2 Lesson Two	148
5.2.2.1 Description	149
5.2.2.2 Team reflection and evaluation	150
5.2.3 Lesson Three	152
5.2.3.1 Description	152
5.2.3.2 Team reflection and evaluation	154
5.2.4 Lesson Four	156
5.2.4.1 Description	156
5.2.4.2 Team reflection and evaluation	157
5.2.5 Lesson Five	159
5.2.5.1 Description	159
5.2.5.2 Team reflection and evaluation	161
5.2.6 Lesson Six	163
5.2.6.1 Description	163

5.2.6 2 Team reflection and evaluation	165
5.2.7 Lesson Seven	167
5.2.7.1 Description	167
5.2.7.2 Team reflection and evaluation	169
5.2.8 Lesson Eight	170
5.2.8.1 Description	170
5.2.8.2 Team reflection and evaluation	173
5.2.9 Lesson Nine	174
5.2.9.1 Description	174
5.2.9.2 Team reflection and evaluation	176
<b>5.3 EVALUATION OF CYCLE</b>	177
5.3.1 Summary of observers' evaluative comments	177
5.3.2 Final team reflection and evaluation	179
<b>5.4 RESEARCHER REFLECTION</b>	181
5.4.1 Action research	181
5.4.1.1 Shifting balances and leadership	181
5.4.1.2 Insider/Outsider researcher	182
5.4.1.3 Methodology for changing practice	183
5.4.2 Implementing the ISEE	184
5.4.2.1 Teaching styles, modes and delivery	184
5.4.2.2 Problems encountered with ISEE	184
5.4.2.3 Pupils' application of ISEE	185
5.4.3 Modes of engagement	186
5.4.4 Selection of paintings	188
5.4.4.1 New technologies	189
<b>CHAPTER SIX: TEACHERS' LESSONS AND EVALUATIONS</b>	191
6.0 Introduction	191
<b>6.1 CYCLE THREE</b>	191
6.1.1 Aims	191
6.1.2 Timetable of actions	191
6.1.3 Participants	192
6.1.3.1 Action team	192
6.1.3.2 Pupils	192
6.1.3.3 Researcher	192
6.1.3.4 External consultant	193
6.1.4 Details of actions	193
<b>6.2 LESSON ONE</b>	194
6.2.1 Planning	194
6.2.2 Selected artwork	195
6.2.3 Reception Class	195
6.2.3.1 Preparation	195
6.2.3.2 Description	196
6.2.4 Year One	198
6.2.4.1 Preparation	198
6.2.4.2 Description	198
6.2.5 Year Two	200
6.2.5.1 Preparation	200

6.2.5.2 Description	201
6.2.6 Meeting with LA Consultant	205
6.2.7 Team reflection and evaluation	205
6.2.8 Researcher reflection on Lesson One	206
<b>6.3 LESSON TWO</b>	207
6.3.1 Reception Class	207
6.3.1.1 Teacher A's selected artwork	207
6.3.1.2 Preparation	208
6.3.1.3 Description	208
6.3.2 Year One	210
6.3.2.1 Teacher C's selected artwork	210
6.3.2.2 Preparation	210
6.3.2.3 Description	211
6.3.3 Year Two	212
6.3.3.1 Teacher D's selected artwork	212
6.3.3.2 Preparation	213
6.3.3.3 Description	213
6.3.4 Team reflection and evaluation	216
<b>6.4 EVALUATION OF RESEARCH</b>	218
6.4.0 Introduction	218
6.4.1 Group pupil interviews	218
6.4.1.1 Group interview schedule	218
6.4.1.2 Detail of group interviews	219
6.4.1.3 Summary of interviews	219
6.4.1.4 Analysis of group interview findings	221
<b>6.5 SUMMATIVE EVALUATION MEETING</b>	222
6.5.1 Details	222
6.5.2 Action research	223
6.5.3 Evaluation of ISEE	224
6.5.3.1 Weaknesses	225
6.5.3.2 Implications of interpreting art in the classroom	226
6.5.3.3 Limitations of interpreting art	227
6.5.4 Methodology for changing practice	227
6.5.5 Evaluation of selected paintings	228
<b>6.6 FINAL OVERALL RESEARCHER REFLECTIONS</b>	229
6.6.1 Action research as a methodology for changing practice	229
6.6.2 Teaching and the ISEE strategy	230
6.6.3 Learning and the ISEE strategy	232
<b>6.7 SORTING AND CODING DATA FOR ANALYSIS</b>	234
<b>CHAPTER SEVEN: THEMATIC ANALYSIS</b>	239
7.0 Introduction	239
7.0.1 Aims	239
7.0.2 Method	239

<b>7.1 THEME ONE: TEACHER VARIABLE</b>	240
7.1.0 Introduction	240
7.1.1 Impact of teacher variable and its ripple effect	240
7.1.2 Researcher presence	241
7.1.3 Teaching in observed settings	241
7.1.4 Attitudes, interest and beliefs about art	242
7.1.4.1 Confidence	244
7.1.4.2 Control	245
7.1.5 Ownership	246
7.1.6 Risk-taking and flexibility	247
7.1.7 Teachers' diverse roles	248
7.1.7.1 Scaffolding and guiding	248
7.1.7.2 Participant in whole class discussion	249
7.1.7.3 Provider of art information	250
7.1.8 Conclusion	251
<b>7.2 THEME TWO: AFFECTIVE RESPONSE</b>	251
7.2.0 Introduction	251
7.2.1 Changing theoretical assumptions	251
7.2.2 Expression theories	252
7.2.3 Reader reception and response	253
7.2.4 Demonstrating affective response	254
7.2.4.1 Empathy and affect	255
7.2.4.2 Placing oneself in a painting and conjectured feelings	256
7.2.5 Intense emotion and felt meaning	257
7.2.5.1 Pupils' experience of felt meaning	258
7.2.5.2 Differences between felt and perceived meaning	259
7.2.6 Relationship between cognitive and affective thinking and response	260
7.2.7 Artist's role in affective response	261
7.2.8 Supporting pupils' affective response	262
7.2.9 Conclusion	264
<b>7.3 THEME THREE: ROLE OF IMAGINATION IN INTERPRETATION</b>	264
7.3.0 Introduction	264
7.3.1 Defining imagination	266
7.3.2 Sidestepping creativity discourse	266
7.3.3 Imagination in young children	267
7.3.4 Using imagination in art interpretation	268
7.3.5 Three ways of using imagination	269
7.3.5.1 Reality versus fantasy	270
7.3.5.2 Fantasy, make believe and silliness	272
7.3.5.3 Imagination as play	273
7.3.5.4 Supposing, speculating and thinking about possibilities	273
7.3.6 Conclusion	275
<b>CHAPTER EIGHT: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS</b>	277
8.0 Introduction	277
<b>8.1 FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS</b>	277
8.1.1 Strengths and weaknesses of the ISEE	277
8.1.2 Significance of the teacher variable	279
8.1.3 How pupils engage with paintings using the ISEE	281
8.1.4 The role of art interpretation in teaching and learning	284

<b>8.2 REFLECTIONS ON METHODOLOGY</b>	287
8.2.1 Action research as a methodology for effecting change in practice	287
8.2.2 Role of researcher	290
8.2.3 Limitations of methodology	291
<b>8.3 IMPLICATIONS OF TEACHING ABOUT ART ARTISTS FOR THE PRIMARY ART CURRICULUM</b>	293
8.3.1 Implications for classroom teachers	295
8.3.2 Selected paintings	296
8.3.3 Resources	297
<b>8.4 CONTRIBUTION TO KNOWLEDGE</b>	298
<b>8.5 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH</b>	300
<b>8.6 POSTSCRIPT: Implications for my own practice as an art history educator</b>	302
<b>9.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	305
<b>10.0 LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	
1. Observer record form	337
2. Approved ethics proposal for Roehampton University Ethics Committee	338
3. Participant informed consent form	346
4. Glossary of coded names and terminology	347
5. Amendments from piloted pupil interviews	348
6. Staff questionnaire	349
7. Profiles of staff and pupils	350
8. Piloted staff questionnaire	351
9. Amendments to staff questionnaire	352
10. Comparison of paintings for Staff Questionnaire (Task Two)	353
11. Pupil interview schedule	354
12. Request for pupil's permission to participate in interview	356
13. Pre-selected group of twelve paintings for Task One	357
14. Selected painting for pupil interview schedule, <i>Allegro Strepitoso</i>	358
15. Teacher record form	359
16. Governor monitoring form	360
17. Research lesson worksheets	361
18. Coded patterns and categories sheet	364
19. Colour and number coded lessons example	367
20. Predetermined questions for Lesson Five	368
21. Photograph of jigsaw puzzle pieces from <i>The Fall of Icarus</i>	369
22. Cut out details from 26 selected artworks for Lesson Eight	370
23. Selected paintings for InSET training and practice sessions	372
24. Selected paintings for Research lesson	373
25. InSET morning agenda	374
26. InSET morning PowerPoint slides	375
27. InSET morning demonstration lesson plan and training resources	376
28. Evaluation criteria for research	377

29. School Improvement Plan (Key Action Priority)	379
30. Example of transcript from research lesson	381
31. Summative evaluation question sheet and teachers' notes evaluation	383
32. Inuit art material	387
33. Legend of Sedna the Sea Goddess	388
34. Story picture planner worksheet example	389
35. Summary of findings from the research	390
36. Transcription of audio taped team reflection and evaluation meeting	393
37. Example of completed teacher record form	394
38. Example of research lesson with art information	395

## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
1.1 Ashoona Pitseolak, <i>Facing the Wind</i>	18
1.2 Registered trademark of authenticity, Government of Canada, 1958	19
2.1 Elliott's model (1991) of action research	40
2.2 The action research model	44
3.1 Conceptual framework for informed interpretation	75
3.2 The ISEE Steps	87
3.3 Marc Chagall, <i>The Birthday</i>	90
3.4 Henri Rousseau, <i>Tropical Storm, Surprised!</i>	104
3.5 Pieter de Hooch, <i>Courtyard of a House in Delft</i>	105
3.6 René Magritte, <i>Le Domaine d'Arnheim</i>	106
3.7 William Hogarth, <i>The Graham Children</i>	106
3.8 Pieter Brueghel, <i>The Fall of Icarus</i>	107
3.9 Thomas Gainsborough, <i>Portrait of Mr and Mrs Andrews</i>	108
3.10 Fiona Rae, <i>Untitled, Grey and Brown</i>	108
4.1 Cycle One: Two strands of action research	111
4.2 Carel Weight, <i>Allegro Strepitoso</i>	116
4.3 J E Millais, <i>The Carpenter's Shop. Christ in the House of His Parents</i>	123
4.4 G F Watts, <i>The Minotaur</i>	124
4.5 Unknown Mughal artist, <i>Elephant Fed by his Keeper</i>	124
4.6 John Constable, <i>The Cornfield</i>	126
4.7 Chris Ofili, <i>No Woman, No Cry</i>	126
5.1 Cycle Two: Two strands of action research	143
5.2 Henri Rousseau, <i>Tropical Storm, Surprised!</i>	144
5.3 Pieter de Hooch, <i>Courtyard of a House in Delft</i>	148
5.4 Pieter de Hooch, <i>Courtyard of a House in Delft</i>	152
5.5 Pieter de Hooch, <i>Courtyard of a House in Delft</i>	156
5.6 René Magritte, <i>Le Domaine, d'Arnheim</i>	159
5.7 Year Two voting game results	160
5.8 William Hogarth, <i>The Graham Children</i>	163
5.9 Pieter Brueghel, <i>The Fall of Icarus</i>	167
5.10 Cut out jigsaw pieces for activity	167
5.11 Thomas Gainsborough, <i>Portrait of Mr and Mrs Andrews</i>	170
5.12 Assortment of 26 cut out details from artwork in public collections	171
5.13 Fiona Rae, <i>Untitled, Grey and Brown</i>	174

6.1	Cycle Three: Two strands of action research	194
6.2	Ningeokuluk Teevee, <i>Silarjuaq (The Whole World)</i>	195
6.3	Reception pupils' artwork illustrating their 'world'	197
6.4	Year One pupils planning artwork	199
6.5	Year Two pupil's completed story picture planner	203
6.6	Year Two pupils making clay models of Sedna	204
6.7	Year Two pupils' clay models	204
6.8	Eugene-Louis Boudin, <i>The Approaching Storm</i>	207
6.9	Photographs of Reception pupils at seaside	209
6.10	André Dérain, <i>Boats in Collioure</i>	210
6.11	Year One pupils discussing <i>Boats in Collioure</i>	211
6.12	Year One pupils making pictures after <i>Boats in Collioure</i>	212
6.13	Pieter Brueghel the Elder, <i>Children's Games</i>	212
6.14	Detail of pupils cut out photographs	215
6.15	Detail of pupils' sketches of playground activities	215
6.16	Pupils' newspaper copy	216
6.17	Changing roles and responsibilities by cycle	224
8.1	Final revised conceptual framework model	284

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page
1. Panofsky's stages of interpreting meaning	17
2. Data Collection Instruments	55
3. Additional instruments	61
4. Cycle actions and data gathering	65
5. Process of data analysis	71
6. Research questions and mode of analysis	73
7. The ISEE strategy	84
8. Categories for art information	91
9. Nine research lessons	93
10. Inclusion and exclusion criteria	100
11. Selection of paintings for data collection instruments and research lessons	102
12. Preselected group of 12 artworks for Task One (Q & interview sheet)	103
13. Timetable for Cycle One actions	112
14. Pupils' selection of artwork from Task One in interviews	118
15. Timetable for Cycle Two actions	140
16. Lesson One: How do pupils make meaning?	144
17. Lesson Two: Learning the ISEE (Step One)	148
18. Lesson Three: Learning the ISEE (Step Two)	152
19. Lesson Four: Learning the ISEE (Step Three)	156
20. Lesson Five: Multiple Interpretations	159
21. Lesson Six: Learning about the past from a painting	163
22. Lesson Seven: The sum of the parts helps you understand the whole	167
23. Lesson Eight: Which animal suits the context?	170
24. Lesson Nine: Interpreting a non figurative painting	174
25. Summary of TAs' observational comments	178
26. Timetable for Cycle Three actions	191
27. Lesson plan written by Reception teachers (A and B)	196
28. Lesson plan written by Year Two teacher (D)	200
29. Timetable for Year Two lesson (2)	213

30. Group interview schedule (pupils)	219
31. Agenda for final summative meeting	222
32. Sorting data for analysis	227